```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 75\Omega/(35.1 + j.59.1)\Omega = 0.557 - j.0.938
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0344 + j \cdot 0.0215)] / (0.02 + 0.0344 + j \cdot 0.0215)
\Gamma = (-0.364) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.251) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.442 \angle -145.4^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I =23.50dB
P_{in} = 3.25 mW = 5.119 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 5.119 dBm - 23.50 dB = -18.38 dBm = 14.517 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.613, Z_{CE} = 102.09\Omega, Z_{CO} = 24.49\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.57)\Omega} = 53.39\Omega
b) Z_L = 57\Omega series with 0.37pF capacitor at 7.0GHz = 57.00\Omega + j \cdot (-61.45)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 23.12\Omega + j \cdot (24.93)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.30dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.7 + 10.9 = 17.6dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.8 + 8.3 = 6.7 + 10.9 = 17.6dB
16.1dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.8 + 10.9 = 18.7dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.3 + 10.9 = 19.2dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.55dB = 1.135, F_2 = 0.87dB = 1.222, F_3 = 1.06dB = 1.276, F_4 = 1.28dB = 1.343, G_1 = 6.7dB = 4.677,
G_2 = 7.8dB = 6.026; F(1,4) = 1.135 + (1.343-1)/4.677 = 1.208 = 0.82dB; F(2,3) = 1.222 + 1.008
(1.276-1)/6.026 = 1.279 = 1.07dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.662 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.516 < 1; K = 1.066 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.198) + i \cdot (0.223)| = 0.298 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 25.61 = 14.08 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.083; C_1 = (-0.525) + j \cdot (-0.116); \Gamma_S = (-0.862) + j \cdot (0.191) = 0.883 \angle 167.5^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.739; C_2 = (-0.171) + j \cdot (-0.321); \Gamma_L = (-0.392) + j \cdot (0.735) = 0.833 \angle 118.1^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 172.3^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -3.769 ; \theta_{p1} = 104.9^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 20.2^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 3.769 ; \theta_{p2} = 75.1^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 14.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -3.010; \theta_{p1} = 108.4^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 47.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 3.010; \theta_{p2} = 71.6^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 14.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -3.010 + (-3.769) = -6.779; \theta_{p1} = 98.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 172.3^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 47.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 3.010 + (-3.769) = -0.759; \theta_{p2} = 142.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 172.3^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 14.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -3.010 + (3.769) = 0.759; \theta_{p3} = 37.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 20.2^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 47.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 3.010 + (3.769) = 6.779; \theta_{p4} = 81.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 20.2^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 14.2 + 172.3 = 186.4; \theta_p = 98.4; A ~ 18344.4
e2) \theta_s = 47.8 + 172.3 = 220.0; \theta_p = 142.8; A ~ 31419.6
e3) \theta_s = 14.2 + 20.2 = 34.4; \theta_p = 37.2; A ~ 1279.9
e4) \theta_s = 47.8 + 20.2 = 68.0; \theta_p = 81.6; A ~ 5549.2
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 40\Omega / (54.8 + j.60.4)\Omega = 0.330 - j.0.363
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0360 - j \cdot 0.0255)] / (0.02 + 0.0360 - j \cdot 0.0255)
\Gamma = (-0.408) + j \cdot (0.269) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.489 \angle 146.6^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless ring coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 22.80dB
P_{in} = 2.70 mW = 4.314 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 4.314 dBm - 22.80 dB = -18.49 dBm = 14.170 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{\text{-C/20}} = 0.531, y_1 = 0.531, y_2 = 0.847, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 94.2 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 59.0 \Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.40)\Omega} = 44.72\Omega
b) Z_L = 40\Omega parallel with 0.31pF capacitor at 7.4GHz = 30.02\Omega + j·(-17.31)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (28.83)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 16.20dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.3 + 11.8 = 18.1 dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.0 + 9.5 = 6.3 + 11.8 = 18.1 dB
16.5dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.0 + 11.8 = 18.8dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.5 + 11.8 = 21.3dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.54dB = 1.132, F_2 = 0.88dB = 1.225, F_3 = 0.99dB = 1.256, F_4 = 1.12dB = 1.294, G_1 = 6.3dB = 4.266,
G_2 = 7.0 dB = 5.012; F(1,4) = 1.132 + (1.294 - 1)/4.266 = 1.201 = 0.80 dB; F(2,3) = 1.225 + (1.294 - 1)/4.266 = 1.201 = 0.80 dB; F(2,3) = 1.225 + (1.294 - 1)/4.266 = 1.201 = 0.80 dB
(1.256-1)/5.012 = 1.283 = 1.08dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.640 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.181 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.241) + i \cdot (0.152)| = 0.285 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 10.67 = 10.28 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.026; C_1 = (-0.406) + j \cdot (0.298); \Gamma_S = (-0.664) + j \cdot (-0.488) = 0.824 \angle -143.7^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.812; C_2 = (-0.361) + j \cdot (-0.156); \Gamma_L = (-0.717) + j \cdot (0.310) = 0.781 \angle 156.6^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 144.6^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.906 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.0^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 179.1^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.906 ; \theta_{p2} = 71.0^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 172.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.503; \theta_{p1} = 111.8^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 31.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.503; \theta_{p2} = 68.2^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 172.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.503 + (-2.906) = -5.408; \theta_{p1} = 100.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 144.6^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 31.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.503 + (-2.906) = -0.403; \theta_{p2} = 158.0^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 144.6^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 172.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.503 + (2.906) = 0.403; \theta_{p3} = 22.0^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 179.1^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 31.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.503 + (2.906) = 5.408; \theta_{p4} = 79.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 179.1^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 172.4 + 144.6 = 317.0; \theta_p = 100.5; A ~ 31846.3
e2) \theta_s = 31.0 + 144.6 = 175.6; \theta_p = 158.0; A ~ 27749.5
e3) \theta_s = 172.4 + 179.1 = 351.5; \theta_p = 22.0; A ~ 7719.3
e4) \theta_s = 31.0 + 179.1 = 210.1; \theta_p = 79.5; A ~ 16710.1
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 80\Omega / (39.8 - j.57.3)\Omega = 0.654 + j.0.942
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0196 - j \cdot 0.0397)] / (0.02 + 0.0196 - j \cdot 0.0397)
\Gamma = (-0.496) + j \cdot (0.505) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.708 \angle 134.5^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless ring coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 24.00dB
P_{in} = 2.30 mW = 3.617 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 3.617 dBm - 24.00 dB = -20.38 dBm = 9.156 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{\text{-C/20}} = 0.579, y_1 = 0.579, y_2 = 0.815, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 86.4 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 61.3\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.57)\Omega} = 53.39\Omega
b) Z_L = 57\Omega series with 0.34pF capacitor at 8.3GHz = 57.00\Omega + i \cdot (-56.40)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 25.27\Omega + j \cdot (25.00)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 14.05dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.1 + 11.8 = 16.9dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.8 + 8.5 = 6.9dB
17.3dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.8 + 11.8 = 20.6dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.5 + 11.8 = 20.3dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.50dB = 1.122, F_2 = 0.84dB = 1.213, F_3 = 0.99dB = 1.256, F_4 = 1.15dB = 1.303, G_1 = 5.1dB = 3.236,
G_2 = 8.8dB = 7.586; F(1,4) = 1.122 + (1.303-1)/3.236 = 1.216 = 0.85dB; F(2,3) = 1.213 + (1.303-1)/3.236
(1.256-1)/7.586 = 1.253 = 0.98dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.609 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.557 < 1; K = 1.203 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.236) + j \cdot (-0.069)| = 0.246 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 8.95 = 9.52 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.000; C_1 = (-0.220) + j \cdot (0.437); \Gamma_S = (-0.363) + j \cdot (-0.721) = 0.807 \angle -116.7^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.879; C_2 = (-0.424) + j \cdot (-0.045); \Gamma_L = (-0.779) + j \cdot (0.082) = 0.783 \angle 174.0^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 130.3^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.736 ; \theta_{p1} = 110.1^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 166.4^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.736 ; \theta_{p2} = 69.9^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 163.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.517; \theta_{p1} = 111.7^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 22.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.517; \theta_{p2} = 68.3^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 163.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.517 + (-2.736) = -5.252; \theta_{p1} = 100.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 130.3^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 22.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.517 + (-2.736) = -0.219; \theta_{p2} = 167.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 130.3^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 163.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.517 + (2.736) = 0.219; \theta_{p3} = 12.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 166.4^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 22.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.517 + (2.736) = 5.252; \theta_{p4} = 79.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 166.4^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 163.8 + 130.3 = 294.0; \theta_p = 100.8; A ~ 29634.0
e2) \theta_s = 22.3 + 130.3 = 152.5; \theta_p = 167.7; A ~ 25570.5
e3) \theta_s = 163.8 + 166.4 = 330.2; \theta_p = 12.3; A ~ 4077.5
e4) \theta_s = 22.3 + 166.4 = 188.7; \theta_p = 79.2; A ~ 14948.2
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 35\Omega/(37.3 + j.59.1)\Omega = 0.267 - j.0.424
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0342 + j \cdot 0.0247)] / (0.02 + 0.0342 + j \cdot 0.0247)
\Gamma = (-0.389) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.278) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.478 \angle -144.4^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless ring coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 21.60dB
P_{in} = 3.25 mW = 5.119 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 5.119 dBm - 21.60 dB = -16.48 dBm = 22.485 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{\text{-C/20}} = 0.513, y_1 = 0.513, y_2 = 0.858, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 97.5 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 58.2 \Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50 \cdot 27)\Omega} = 36.74\Omega
b) Z_L = 27\Omega series with 1.24nH inductor at 8.0\text{GHz} = 27.00\Omega + \text{j} \cdot (62.33)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 7.90\Omega + j \cdot (-18.24)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.30dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.4 + 11.6 = 18.0dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.1 + 8.8 = 6.4 + 11.6 = 18.0dB
16.9dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.1 + 11.6 = 19.7dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.8 + 11.6 = 20.4dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.64dB = 1.159, F_2 = 0.83dB = 1.211, F_3 = 0.98dB = 1.253, F_4 = 1.27dB = 1.340, G_1 = 6.4dB = 4.365,
G_2 = 8.1 dB = 6.457; F(1,4) = 1.159 + (1.340-1)/4.365 = 1.237 = 0.92 dB; F(2,3) = 1.211 + 1.237 = 0.92 dB; F(2,3) = 0.92 
(1.253-1)/6.457 = 1.263 = 1.01dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.640 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.184 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.251) + i \cdot (0.130)| = 0.283 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 10.55 = 10.23 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.027; C_1 = (-0.393) + j \cdot (0.315); \Gamma_S = (-0.642) + j \cdot (-0.515) = 0.824 \angle -141.3^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.813; C_2 = (-0.367) + j \cdot (-0.145); \Gamma_L = (-0.726) + j \cdot (0.288) = 0.781 \angle 158.4^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 143.4^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.903 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.0^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 177.9^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.903 ; \theta_{p2} = 71.0^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 171.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.501; \theta_{p1} = 111.8^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 30.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.501; \theta_{p2} = 68.2^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 171.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.501 + (-2.903) = -5.404; \theta_{p1} = 100.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 143.4^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 30.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.501 + (-2.903) = -0.402; \theta_{p2} = 158.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 143.4^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 171.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.501 + (2.903) = 0.402; \theta_{p3} = 21.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 177.9^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 30.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.501 + (2.903) = 5.404; \theta_{p4} = 79.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 177.9^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 171.5 + 143.4 = 314.8; \theta_p = 100.5; A ~ 31636.5
e2) \theta_s = 30.1 + 143.4 = 173.5; \theta_p = 158.1; A ~ 27425.4
e3) \theta_s = 171.5 + 177.9 = 349.4; \theta_p = 21.9; A ~ 7659.8
e4) \theta_s = 30.1 + 177.9 = 208.1; \theta_p = 79.5; A ~ 16543.8
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 85\Omega / (47.4 - j \cdot 34.1)\Omega = 1.182 + j \cdot 0.850
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0142 + j \cdot 0.0265)] / (0.02 + 0.0142 + j \cdot 0.0265)
\Gamma = (-0.269) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.566) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.627 \angle -115.4^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 20.00dB
P_{in} = 2.30 mW = 3.617 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 3.617 dBm - 20.00 dB = -16.38 dBm = 23.000 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.468, Z_{CE} = 83.03\Omega, Z_{CO} = 30.11\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50 \cdot 31)\Omega} = 39.37\Omega
b) Z_L = 31\Omega series with 0.41pF capacitor at 8.5GHz = 31.00\Omega + j·(-45.67)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 15.77\Omega + j \cdot (23.23)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 16.75dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.7 + 10.4 = 17.1dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.4 + 9.5 = 6.7 + 10.4 = 17.1dB
16.9dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.4 + 10.4 = 17.8dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.5 + 10.4 = 19.9dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.59dB = 1.146, F_2 = 0.70dB = 1.175, F_3 = 1.03dB = 1.268, F_4 = 1.19dB = 1.315, G_1 = 6.7dB = 4.677,
G_2 = 7.4dB = 5.495; F(1,4) = 1.146 + (1.315-1)/4.677 = 1.213 = 0.84dB; F(2,3) = 1.175 + 1.014
(1.268-1)/5.495 = 1.232 = 0.91dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.634 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.213 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.264) + i \cdot (0.026)| = 0.265 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 9.79 = 9.91 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.029; C_1 = (-0.327) + j \cdot (0.383); \Gamma_S = (-0.530) + j \cdot (-0.620) = 0.815 \angle -130.5^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.830; C_2 = (-0.389) + j \cdot (-0.103); \Gamma_L = (-0.749) + j \cdot (0.199) = 0.775 \angle 165.1^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 137.6^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.818 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.5^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 172.9^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.818 ; \theta_{p2} = 70.5^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 167.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.453; \theta_{p1} = 112.2^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 27.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.453; \theta_{p2} = 67.8^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 167.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.453 + (-2.818) = -5.271; \theta_{p1} = 100.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 137.6^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 27.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.453 + (-2.818) = -0.364; \theta_{p2} = 160.0^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 137.6^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 167.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.453 + (2.818) = 0.364; \theta_{p3} = 20.0^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 172.9^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 27.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.453 + (2.818) = 5.271; \theta_{p4} = 79.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 172.9^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 167.8 + 137.6 = 305.4; \theta_p = 100.7; A ~ 30768.3
e2) \theta_s = 27.0 + 137.6 = 164.6; \theta_p = 160.0; A ~ 26332.7
e3) \theta_s = 167.8 + 172.9 = 340.8; \theta_p = 20.0; A ~ 6823.6
e4) \theta_s = 27.0 + 172.9 = 200.0; \theta_p = 79.3; A ~ 15849.2
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 75\Omega / (42.8 + j \cdot 30.4)\Omega = 1.165 - j \cdot 0.827
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0200 + j \cdot 0.0115)] / (0.02 + 0.0200 + j \cdot 0.0115)
\Gamma = (-0.076) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.266) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.276 \angle -106.0^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 29.40 dB
P_{in} = 2.60 mW = 4.150 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 4.150 dBm - 29.40 dB = -25.25 dBm = 2.985 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.462, Z_{CE} = 82.46\Omega, Z_{CO} = 30.32\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50 \cdot 27)\Omega} = 36.74\Omega
b) Z_L = 27\Omega parallel with 0.48pF capacitor at 6.5GHz = 21.09\Omega + j·(-11.16)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (26.46)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.35dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.2 + 10.7 = 16.9dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.6 + 8.5 = 6.5 + 10.7 = 16.9dB
17.1dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.6 + 10.7 = 19.3dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.5 + 10.7 = 19.2dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.68dB = 1.169, F_2 = 0.84dB = 1.213, F_3 = 1.09dB = 1.285, F_4 = 1.21dB = 1.321, G_1 = 6.2dB = 4.169,
G_2 = 8.6dB = 7.244; F(1,4) = 1.169 + (1.321-1)/4.169 = 1.247 = 0.96dB; F(2,3) = 1.213 + 1.247 = 0.96dB
(1.285-1)/7.244 = 1.258 = 1.00dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.627 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.551 < 1; K = 1.227 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.253) + j \cdot (-0.026)| = 0.255 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 9.34 = 9.70 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.025; C_1 = (-0.284) + j \cdot (0.413); \Gamma_S = (-0.458) + j \cdot (-0.667) = 0.809 \angle -124.5^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.846; C_2 = (-0.401) + j \cdot (-0.080); \Gamma_L = (-0.758) + j \cdot (0.151) = 0.773 \angle 168.7^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 134.3^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.757 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.9^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 170.2^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.757 ; \theta_{p2} = 70.1^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 165.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.434; \theta_{p1} = 112.3^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 25.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.434; \theta_{p2} = 67.7^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 165.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.434 + (-2.757) = -5.192; \theta_{p1} = 100.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 134.3^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 25.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.434 + (-2.757) = -0.323; \theta_{p2} = 162.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 134.3^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 165.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.434 + (2.757) = 0.323; \theta_{p3} = 17.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 170.2^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 25.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.434 + (2.757) = 5.192; \theta_{p4} = 79.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 170.2^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 165.9 + 134.3 = 300.2; \theta_p = 100.9; A ~ 30288.8
e2) \theta_s = 25.3 + 134.3 = 159.6; \theta_p = 162.1; A ~ 25866.9
e3) \theta_s = 165.9 + 170.2 = 336.1; \theta_p = 17.9; A ~ 6021.0
e4) \theta_s = 25.3 + 170.2 = 195.5; \theta_p = 79.1; A ~ 15466.7
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 30\Omega / (51.9 - j.49.5)\Omega = 0.303 + j.0.289
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0327 + j \cdot 0.0259)] / (0.02 + 0.0327 + j \cdot 0.0259)
\Gamma = (-0.389) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.300) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.491 \angle -142.3^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless ring coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 24.00dB
P_{in} = 1.30 mW = 1.139 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 1.139 dBm - 24.00 dB = -22.86 dBm = 5.175 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{\text{-C/20}} = 0.562, y_1 = 0.562, y_2 = 0.827, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 88.9 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 60.5\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50 \cdot 31)\Omega} = 39.37\Omega
b) Z_L = 31\Omega parallel with 0.26pF capacitor at 9.3GHz = 25.37\Omega + j·(-11.95)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (23.55)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.65dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.7 + 10.6 = 16.3dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.3 + 9.8 = 10.64
17.1dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.3 + 10.6 = 17.9dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.8 + 10.6 = 20.4dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.53dB = 1.130, F_2 = 0.71dB = 1.178, F_3 = 0.97dB = 1.250, F_4 = 1.29dB = 1.346, G_1 = 5.7dB = 3.715,
G_2 = 7.3 dB = 5.370; F(1,4) = 1.130 + (1.346-1)/3.715 = 1.223 = 0.87 dB; F(2,3) = 1.178 + (1.346-1)/3.715 = 1.223 = 0.87 dB; F(2,3) = 1.178 + (1.346-1)/3.715 = 1.223 = 0.87 dB
(1.250-1)/5.370 = 1.242 = 0.94dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.653 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.519 < 1; K = 1.090 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.149) + i \cdot (0.243)| = 0.285 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 23.66 = 13.74 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.076; C_1 = (-0.526) + j \cdot (-0.083); \Gamma_S = (-0.856) + j \cdot (0.135) = 0.866 \angle 171.0^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.762; C_2 = (-0.199) + j \cdot (-0.316); \Gamma_L = (-0.434) + j \cdot (0.690) = 0.815 \angle 122.2^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 169.5^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -3.465 ; \theta_{p1} = 106.1^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 19.5^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 3.465 ; \theta_{p2} = 73.9^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 11.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.814; \theta_{p1} = 109.6^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.814; \theta_{p2} = 70.4^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 11.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.814 + (-3.465) = -6.279; \theta_{p1} = 99.0^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 169.5^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.6^{\circ} ; Im(y_L) = 2.814 + (-3.465) = -0.651; \theta_{p2} = 146.9^{\circ} ; \theta_{S1} = 169.5^{\circ} ;
d3) \theta_{L1} = 11.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.814 + (3.465) = 0.651; \theta_{p3} = 33.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 19.5^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.814 + (3.465) = 6.279; \theta_{p4} = 81.0^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 19.5^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 11.2 + 169.5 = 180.7; \theta_p = 99.0; A ~ 17898.3
e2) \theta_s = 46.6 + 169.5 = 216.1; \theta_p = 146.9; A ~ 31751.0
e3) \theta_s = 11.2 + 19.5 = 30.7 ; \theta_p = 33.1 ; A ~ 1015.2
e4) \theta_s = 46.6 + 19.5 = 66.1; \theta_p = 81.0; A ~ 5350.6
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 80\Omega / (62.2 - j.51.2)\Omega = 0.767 + j.0.631
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0325 - j \cdot 0.0195)] / (0.02 + 0.0325 - j \cdot 0.0195)
\Gamma = (-0.330) + j \cdot (0.249) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.414 \angle 143.0^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 28.25 dB
P_{in} = 2.15 mW = 3.324 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 3.324 dBm - 28.25 dB = -24.93 dBm = 3.217 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.449, Z_{CE} = 81.11\Omega, Z_{CO} = 30.82\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.41)\Omega} = 45.28\Omega
b) Z_L = 41\Omega series with 0.88nH inductor at 8.1\text{GHz} = 41.00\Omega + \text{j} \cdot (44.79)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 22.80\Omega + j \cdot (-24.90)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 16.10dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.5 + 10.2 = 16.7dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.5 + 9.1 = 10.2
16.6dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.5 + 10.2 = 17.7dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.1 + 10.2 = 19.3dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.51dB = 1.125, F_2 = 0.83dB = 1.211, F_3 = 1.03dB = 1.268, F_4 = 1.17dB = 1.309, G_1 = 6.5dB = 4.467,
G_2 = 7.5 dB = 5.623; F(1,4) = 1.125 + (1.309 - 1)/4.467 = 1.194 = 0.77 dB; F(2,3) = 1.211 + (1.309 - 1)/4.467 = 1.194 = 0.77 dB; F(2,3) = 1.211 + (1.309 - 1)/4.467 = 1.194 = 0.77 dB; F(2,3) = 1.211 + (1.309 - 1)/4.467 = 1.194 = 0.77 dB; F(2,3) = 1.211 + (1.309 - 1)/4.467 = 1.194 = 0.77 dB; F(2,3) = 1.211 + (1.309 - 1)/4.467 = 1.194 = 0.77 dB; F(2,3) = 1.211 + (1.309 - 1)/4.467 = 1.194 = 0.77 dB
(1.268-1)/5.623 = 1.266 = 1.02dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.605 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.169 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.037) + i \cdot (0.262)| = 0.264 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 16.34 = 12.13 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.026; C_1 = (-0.486) + j \cdot (0.119); \Gamma_S = (-0.778) + j \cdot (-0.191) = 0.801 \angle -166.2^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.835; C_2 = (-0.242) + j \cdot (-0.321); \Gamma_L = (-0.457) + j \cdot (0.606) = 0.759 \angle 127.0^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 154.7^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -2.674; \theta_{p1} = 110.5^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 11.5^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 2.674; \theta_{p2} = 69.5^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 6.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.333; \theta_{p1} = 113.2^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.333; \theta_{p2} = 66.8^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 6.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.333 + (-2.674) = -5.007; \theta_{v1} = 101.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 154.7^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.333 + (-2.674) = -0.341; \theta_{p2} = 161.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 154.7^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 6.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.333 + (2.674) = 0.341; \theta_{p3} = 18.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 11.5^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.333 + (2.674) = 5.007; \theta_{p4} = 78.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 11.5^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 6.2 + 154.7 = 160.9; \theta_p = 101.3; A ~ 16296.5
e2) \theta_s = 46.8 + 154.7 = 201.5; \theta_p = 161.2; A ~ 32476.7
e3) \theta_s = 6.2 + 11.5 = 17.7; \theta_p = 18.8; A ~ 332.6
e4) \theta_s = 46.8 + 11.5 = 58.3; \theta_p = 78.7; A ~ 4587.0
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 70\Omega / (40.8 + j \cdot 68.5)\Omega = 0.449 - j \cdot 0.754
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0236 + j \cdot 0.0112)] / (0.02 + 0.0236 + j \cdot 0.0112)
\Gamma = (-0.139) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.221) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.261 \angle -122.2^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 22.00dB
P_{in} = 3.25 mW = 5.119 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 5.119 dBm - 22.00 dB = -16.88 dBm = 20.506 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.572, Z_{CE} = 95.84\Omega, Z_{CO} = 26.08\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.56)\Omega} = 52.92\Omega
b) Z_L = 56\Omega series with 1.05nH inductor at 9.8GHz = 56.00\Omega + j \cdot (64.65)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 21.43\Omega + j \cdot (-24.74)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.35dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.4 + 10.3 = 15.7dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.1 + 9.9 = 10.3
18.0dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.1 + 10.3 = 18.4dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.9 + 10.3 = 20.2dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.64dB = 1.159, F_2 = 0.87dB = 1.222, F_3 = 1.01dB = 1.262, F_4 = 1.18dB = 1.312, G_1 = 5.4dB = 3.467,
G_2 = 8.1 dB = 6.457; F(1,4) = 1.159 + (1.312-1)/3.467 = 1.249 = 0.96 dB; F(2,3) = 1.222 + 1.249 = 0.96 dB
(1.262-1)/6.457 = 1.270 = 1.04dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.656 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.518 < 1; K = 1.082 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.166) + i \cdot (0.237)| = 0.289 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 24.28 = 13.85 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.078; C_1 = (-0.526) + j \cdot (-0.094); \Gamma_S = (-0.858) + j \cdot (0.154) = 0.872 \angle 169.9^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.754; C_2 = (-0.189) + j \cdot (-0.318); \Gamma_L = (-0.420) + j \cdot (0.705) = 0.821 \angle 120.8^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 170.4^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -3.557; \theta_{p1} = 105.7^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 19.7^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 3.557; \theta_{p2} = 74.3^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 12.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.872; \theta_{p1} = 109.2^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 47.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.872; \theta_{p2} = 70.8^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 12.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.872 + (-3.557) = -6.430; \theta_{p1} = 98.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 170.4^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 47.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.872 + (-3.557) = -0.685; \theta_{p2} = 145.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 170.4^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 12.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.872 + (3.557) = 0.685; \theta_{p3} = 34.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 19.7^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 47.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.872 + (3.557) = 6.430; \theta_{p4} = 81.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 19.7^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 12.2 + 170.4 = 182.6; \theta_p = 98.8; A ~ 18046.2
e2) \theta_s = 47.0 + 170.4 = 217.4; \theta_p = 145.6; A ~ 31656.0
e3) \theta_s = 12.2 + 19.7 = 31.9 ; \theta_p = 34.4 ; A ~ 1098.5
e4) \theta_s = 47.0 + 19.7 = 66.8; \theta_p = 81.2; A ~ 5419.4
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 80\Omega / (56.3 + j.57.9)\Omega = 0.691 - j.0.710
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0216 + j \cdot 0.0204)] / (0.02 + 0.0216 + j \cdot 0.0204)
\Gamma = (-0.225) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.380) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.442 \angle -120.6^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 24.75 dB
P_{in} = 2.10 mW = 3.222 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 3.222 dBm - 24.75 dB = -21.53 dBm = 7.034 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.627, Z_{CE} = 104.48\Omega, Z_{CO} = 23.93\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.74)\Omega} = 60.83\Omega
b) Z_L = 74\Omega parallel with 0.30pF capacitor at 8.8GHz = 29.52\Omega + j·(-36.24)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (61.37)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 16.35dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.0 + 10.8 = 16.8dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.7 + 9.3 = 6.8dB
18.0dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.7 + 10.8 = 19.5dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.3 + 10.8 = 20.1dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.57dB = 1.140, F_2 = 0.77dB = 1.194, F_3 = 0.98dB = 1.253, F_4 = 1.24dB = 1.330, G_1 = 6.0dB = 3.981,
G_2 = 8.7 dB = 7.413; F(1,4) = 1.140 + (1.330-1)/3.981 = 1.223 = 0.88 dB; F(2,3) = 1.194 + (1.330-1)/3.981
(1.253-1)/7.413 = 1.239 = 0.93dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.621 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.553 < 1; K = 1.218 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.248) + j \cdot (-0.041)| = 0.252 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 9.22 = 9.65 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.017; C_1 = (-0.262) + j \cdot (0.422); \Gamma_S = (-0.427) + j \cdot (-0.687) = 0.809 \angle -121.9^\circ
B_2 = 0.857; C_2 = (-0.409) + j \cdot (-0.069); \Gamma_L = (-0.766) + j \cdot (0.128) = 0.776 \angle 170.5^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 132.9^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.751 ; \theta_{p1} = 110.0^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 168.9^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.751 ; \theta_{p2} = 70.0^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 165.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.463; \theta_{p1} = 112.1^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 24.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.463; \theta_{p2} = 67.9^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 165.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.463 + (-2.751) = -5.214; \theta_{p1} = 100.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 132.9^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 24.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.463 + (-2.751) = -0.288; \theta_{p2} = 163.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 132.9^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 165.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.463 + (2.751) = 0.288; \theta_{p3} = 16.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 168.9^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 24.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.463 + (2.751) = 5.214; \theta_{p4} = 79.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 168.9^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 165.2 + 132.9 = 298.1; \theta_p = 100.9; A ~ 30070.1
e2) \theta_s = 24.3 + 132.9 = 157.2; \theta_p = 163.9; A ~ 25772.2
e3) \theta_s = 165.2 + 168.9 = 334.2; \theta_p = 16.1; A ~ 5373.6
e4) \theta_s = 24.3 + 168.9 = 193.2; \theta_p = 79.1; A ~ 15293.7
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 45\Omega/(43.8 + j.55.5)\Omega = 0.394 - j.0.500
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0297 + j \cdot 0.0108)] / (0.02 + 0.0297 + j \cdot 0.0108)
\Gamma = (-0.231) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.167) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.285 \angle -144.2^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless quadrature coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 22.80dB
P_{in} = 3.60 mW = 5.563 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 5.563 dBm - 22.80 dB = -17.24 dBm = 18.893 \mu W
b) L2, C12/2017, \beta = 10^{\text{-C/20}} = 0.460, y_2 = 1.126, y_1 = 0.518, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 96.6 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 44.4\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.54)\Omega} = 51.96\Omega
b) Z_L = 54\Omega series with 0.49pF capacitor at 7.7GHz = 54.00\Omega + i \cdot (-42.18)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 31.05\Omega + j \cdot (24.26)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.05dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.6 + 11.7 = 18.3dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.5 + 8.1 = 6.6 + 11.7 = 18.3dB
15.6dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.5 + 11.7 = 19.2dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.1 + 11.7 = 19.8dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.50dB = 1.122, F_2 = 0.88dB = 1.225, F_3 = 1.01dB = 1.262, F_4 = 1.15dB = 1.303, G_1 = 6.6dB = 4.571,
G_2 = 7.5 dB = 5.623; F(1,4) = 1.122 + (1.303-1)/4.571 = 1.188 = 0.75 dB; F(2,3) = 1.225 + (1.303-1)/4.571 = 1.188 = 0.75 dB
(1.262-1)/5.623 = 1.279 = 1.07dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.631 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.227 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.258) + j \cdot (-0.008)| = 0.258 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 9.51 = 9.78 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.029; C_1 = (-0.303) + j \cdot (0.402); \Gamma_S = (-0.488) + j \cdot (-0.649) = 0.811 \angle -126.9^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.838; C_2 = (-0.395) + j \cdot (-0.090); \Gamma_L = (-0.753) + j \cdot (0.171) = 0.772 \angle 167.2^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 135.6^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.776 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.8^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 171.4^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.776 ; \theta_{p2} = 70.2^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 166.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.430; \theta_{p1} = 112.4^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 26.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.430; \theta_{p2} = 67.6^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 166.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.430 + (-2.776) = -5.206; \theta_{p1} = 100.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 135.6^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 26.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.430 + (-2.776) = -0.347; \theta_{p2} = 160.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 135.6^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 166.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.430 + (2.776) = 0.347; \theta_{p3} = 19.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 171.4^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 26.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.430 + (2.776) = 5.206; \theta_{p4} = 79.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 171.4^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 166.7 + 135.6 = 302.3; \theta_p = 100.9; A ~ 30490.4
e2) \theta_s = 26.1 + 135.6 = 161.7; \theta_p = 160.9; A ~ 26017.6
e3) \theta_s = 166.7 + 171.4 = 338.0; \theta_p = 19.1; A ~ 6464.5
e4) \theta_s = 26.1 + 171.4 = 197.5; \theta_p = 79.1; A ~ 15626.9
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 70\Omega / (41.7 + j.61.5)\Omega = 0.529 - j.0.780
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0379 - j \cdot 0.0114)] / (0.02 + 0.0379 - j \cdot 0.0114)
\Gamma = (-0.335) + j \cdot (0.131) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.360 \angle 158.6^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless quadrature coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 29.95 dB
P_{in} = 1.50 mW = 1.761 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 1.761 dBm - 29.95 dB = -28.19 dBm = 1.517 \mu W
b) L2, C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.487, y_2 = 1.145, y_1 = 0.558, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 89.7 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 43.7\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.51)\Omega} = 50.50\Omega
b) Z_L = 51\Omega parallel with 0.80nH inductor at 9.2GHz = 23.01\Omega + i \cdot (25.38)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (-55.14)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.25dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.9 + 10.8 = 17.7dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.7 + 8.3 = 6.9 + 10.8 = 17.7dB
16.0dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.7 + 10.8 = 18.5dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.3 + 10.8 = 19.1dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.62dB = 1.153, F_2 = 0.88dB = 1.225, F_3 = 1.02dB = 1.265, F_4 = 1.12dB = 1.294, G_1 = 6.9dB = 4.898,
G_2 = 7.7 dB = 5.888; F(1,4) = 1.153 + (1.294 - 1)/4.898 = 1.214 = 0.84 dB; F(2,3) = 1.225 + (1.294 - 1)/4.898
(1.265-1)/5.888 = 1.275 = 1.05dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.606 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.558 < 1; K = 1.199 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.232) + j \cdot (-0.076)| = 0.244 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 8.90 = 9.49 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 0.996; C_1 = (-0.209) + j \cdot (0.440); \Gamma_S = (-0.346) + j \cdot (-0.729) = 0.807 \angle -115.4^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.884; C_2 = (-0.428) + j \cdot (-0.039); \Gamma_L = (-0.782) + j \cdot (0.071) = 0.785 \angle 174.8^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 129.6^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.735 ; \theta_{p1} = 110.1^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 165.8^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.735 ; \theta_{p2} = 69.9^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 163.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.533; \theta_{p1} = 111.5^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 21.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.533; \theta_{p2} = 68.5^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 163.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.533 + (-2.735) = -5.267; \theta_{p1} = 100.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 129.6^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 21.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.533 + (-2.735) = -0.202; \theta_{p2} = 168.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 129.6^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 163.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.533 + (2.735) = 0.202; \theta_{p3} = 11.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 165.8^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 21.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.533 + (2.735) = 5.267; \theta_{p4} = 79.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 165.8^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 163.4 + 129.6 = 293.0; \theta_p = 100.7; A ~ 29524.2
e2) \theta_s = 21.7 + 129.6 = 151.3; \theta_p = 168.6; A ~ 25514.5
e3) \theta_s = 163.4 + 165.8 = 329.2; \theta_p = 11.4; A ~ 3757.0
e4) \theta_s = 21.7 + 165.8 = 187.5; \theta_p = 79.3; A ~ 14861.2
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 60\Omega / (60.6 - j.60.9)\Omega = 0.493 + j.0.495
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0283 + j \cdot 0.0144)] / (0.02 + 0.0283 + j \cdot 0.0144)
\Gamma = (-0.239) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.227) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.330 \angle -136.6^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I =23.50dB
P_{in} = 3.15 mW = 4.983 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 4.983 dBm - 23.50 dB = -18.52 dBm = 14.071 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.613, Z_{CE} = 102.09\Omega, Z_{CO} = 24.49\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50 \cdot 29)\Omega} = 38.08\Omega
b) Z_L = 29\Omega series with 0.60nH inductor at 8.4\text{GHz} = 29.00\Omega + j \cdot (31.67)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 22.81\Omega + j \cdot (-24.90)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 17.50dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.1 + 11.6 = 17.7dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.8 + 9.8 = 6.1 + 11.6 = 17.7dB
18.6dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.8 + 11.6 = 20.4dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.8 + 11.6 = 21.4dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.52dB = 1.127, F_2 = 0.85dB = 1.216, F_3 = 1.06dB = 1.276, F_4 = 1.10dB = 1.288, G_1 = 6.1dB = 4.074,
G_2 = 8.8dB = 7.586; F(1,4) = 1.127 + (1.288 - 1)/4.074 = 1.198 = 0.78dB; F(2,3) = 1.216 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088 + 1.088
(1.276-1)/7.586 = 1.254 = 0.98dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.640 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.187 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.259) + j \cdot (0.107)| = 0.280 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 10.43 = 10.18 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.028; C_1 = (-0.380) + j \cdot (0.332); \Gamma_S = (-0.620) + j \cdot (-0.542) = 0.823 \angle -138.8^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.814; C_2 = (-0.371) + j \cdot (-0.134); \Gamma_L = (-0.734) + j \cdot (0.265) = 0.781 \angle 160.1^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 142.1^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.900 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.0^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 176.7^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.900 ; \theta_{p2} = 71.0^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 170.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.499; \theta_{p1} = 111.8^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 29.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.499; \theta_{p2} = 68.2^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 170.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.499 + (-2.900) = -5.399; \theta_{p1} = 100.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 142.1^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 29.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.499 + (-2.900) = -0.402; \theta_{p2} = 158.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 142.1^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 170.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.499 + (2.900) = 0.402; \theta_{p3} = 21.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 176.7^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 29.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.499 + (2.900) = 5.399; \theta_{p4} = 79.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 176.7^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 170.6 + 142.1 = 312.7; \theta_p = 100.5; A ~ 31426.9
e2) \theta_s = 29.3 + 142.1 = 171.4; \theta_p = 158.1; A ~ 27101.5
e3) \theta_s = 170.6 + 176.7 = 347.3; \theta_p = 21.9; A ~ 7599.9
e4) \theta_s = 29.3 + 176.7 = 206.0; \theta_p = 79.5; A ~ 16377.5
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 100\Omega / (53.9 - j.68.9)\Omega = 0.704 + j.0.900
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0337 - j \cdot 0.0358)] / (0.02 + 0.0337 - j \cdot 0.0358)
\Gamma = (-0.484) + j \cdot (0.344) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.594 \angle 144.6^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless quadrature coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 22.80dB
P_{in} = 2.90 mW = 4.624 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 4.624 dBm - 22.80 dB = -18.18 dBm = 15.219 \mu W
b) L2, C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.510, y_2 = 1.162, y_1 = 0.593, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 84.3 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 43.0\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50 \cdot 29)\Omega} = 38.08\Omega
b) Z_L = 29\Omega series with 0.46pF capacitor at 7.1GHz = 29.00\Omega + i \cdot (-48.73)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 13.08\Omega + j \cdot (21.97)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 17.00dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.5 + 10.6 = 17.1dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.8 + 9.7 = 6.5 + 10.6 = 17.1dB
18.5dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.8 + 10.6 = 19.4dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.7 + 10.6 = 20.3dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.65dB = 1.161, F_2 = 0.73dB = 1.183, F_3 = 1.05dB = 1.274, F_4 = 1.14dB = 1.300, G_1 = 6.5dB = 4.467,
G_2 = 8.8dB = 7.586; F(1,4) = 1.161 + (1.300-1)/4.467 = 1.229 = 0.89dB; F(2,3) = 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 +
(1.274-1)/7.586 = 1.223 = 0.87dB;
F(1,4) > F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 2,3
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.637 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.201 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.265) + i \cdot (0.060)| = 0.272 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 10.08 = 10.03 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.029; C_1 = (-0.351) + j \cdot (0.363); \Gamma_S = (-0.570) + j \cdot (-0.589) = 0.819 \angle -134.1^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.823; C_2 = (-0.381) + j \cdot (-0.116); \Gamma_L = (-0.744) + j \cdot (0.227) = 0.778 \angle 163.0^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 139.5^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.858 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.3^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 174.5^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.858 ; \theta_{p2} = 70.7^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 169.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.475; \theta_{p1} = 112.0^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 27.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.475; \theta_{p2} = 68.0^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 169.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.475 + (-2.858) = -5.333; \theta_{p1} = 100.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 139.5^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 27.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.475 + (-2.858) = -0.383; \theta_{p2} = 159.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 139.5^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 169.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.475 + (2.858) = 0.383; \theta_{p3} = 20.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 174.5^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 27.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.475 + (2.858) = 5.333; \theta_{p4} = 79.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 174.5^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 169.0 + 139.5 = 308.5; \theta_p = 100.6; A ~ 31045.6
e2) \theta_s = 27.9 + 139.5 = 167.5; \theta_p = 159.1; A ~ 26640.4
e3) \theta_s = 169.0 + 174.5 = 343.5; \theta_p = 20.9; A ~ 7191.6
e4) \theta_s = 27.9 + 174.5 = 202.5; \theta_p = 79.4; A ~ 16071.8
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 100\Omega / (54.6 + j.52.3)\Omega = 0.955 - j.0.915
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0240 + j \cdot 0.0187)] / (0.02 + 0.0240 + j \cdot 0.0187)
\Gamma = (-0.230) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.327) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.400 \angle -125.1^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 21.10dB
P_{in} = 2.05 mW = 3.118 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 3.118 dBm - 21.10 dB = -17.98 dBm = 15.913 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.465, Z_{CE} = 82.74\Omega, Z_{CO} = 30.21\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.59)\Omega} = 54.31\Omega
b) Z_L = 59\Omega parallel with 0.91nH inductor at 9.0GHz = 25.49\Omega + j·(29.23)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (-57.33)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 16.25dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.7 + 10.0 = 16.7dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.9 + 9.5 = 6.7 + 10.0 = 16.7dB
18.4dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.9 + 10.0 = 18.9dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.5 + 10.0 = 19.5dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.66dB = 1.164, F_2 = 0.75dB = 1.189, F_3 = 0.99dB = 1.256, F_4 = 1.13dB = 1.297, G_1 = 6.7dB = 4.677,
G_2 = 8.9 dB = 7.762; F(1,4) = 1.164 + (1.297-1)/4.677 = 1.228 = 0.89 dB; F(2,3) = 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189
(1.256-1)/7.762 = 1.227 = 0.89dB;
F(1,4) > F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 2,3
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.630 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.231 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.255) + j \cdot (-0.019)| = 0.256 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 9.41 = 9.74 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.029; C_1 = (-0.294) + j \cdot (0.408); \Gamma_S = (-0.473) + j \cdot (-0.657) = 0.810 \angle -125.8^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.840; C_2 = (-0.397) + j \cdot (-0.085); \Gamma_L = (-0.754) + j \cdot (0.162) = 0.771 \angle 167.9^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 134.9^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.762 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.9^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 170.8^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.762 ; \theta_{p2} = 70.1^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 166.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.421; \theta_{p1} = 112.4^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 25.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.421; \theta_{p2} = 67.6^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 166.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.421 + (-2.762) = -5.183; \theta_{p1} = 100.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 134.9^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 25.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.421 + (-2.762) = -0.341; \theta_{p2} = 161.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 134.9^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 166.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.421 + (2.762) = 0.341; \theta_{p3} = 18.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 170.8^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 25.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.421 + (2.762) = 5.183; \theta_{p4} = 79.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 170.8^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 166.3 + 134.9 = 301.2; \theta_p = 100.9; A ~ 30397.8
e2) \theta_s = 25.8 + 134.9 = 160.8; \theta_p = 161.2; A ~ 25911.5
e3) \theta_s = 166.3 + 170.8 = 337.1; \theta_p = 18.8; A ~ 6345.9
e4) \theta_s = 25.8 + 170.8 = 196.7; \theta_p = 79.1; A ~ 15553.0
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 70\Omega / (68.4 - j.60.3)\Omega = 0.576 + j.0.508
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0210 + j \cdot 0.0388)] / (0.02 + 0.0210 + j \cdot 0.0388)
\Gamma = (-0.485) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.487) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.688 \angle -134.9^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 25.45 dB
P_{in} = 2.05 mW = 3.118 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 3.118 dBm - 25.45 dB = -22.33 dBm = 5.845 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.599, Z_{CE} = 99.86\Omega, Z_{CO} = 25.04\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.72)\Omega} = 60.00\Omega
b) Z_L = 72\Omega series with 0.67nH inductor at 9.0\text{GHz} = 72.00\Omega + j \cdot (37.89)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 39.16\Omega + j \cdot (-20.61)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.80dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.2 + 11.0 = 16.2dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.7 + 8.9 = 10.0dB
16.6dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.7 + 11.0 = 18.7dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.9 + 11.0 = 19.9dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.60dB = 1.148, F_2 = 0.77dB = 1.194, F_3 = 1.01dB = 1.262, F_4 = 1.12dB = 1.294, G_1 = 5.2dB = 3.311,
G_2 = 7.7 dB = 5.888; F(1,4) = 1.148 + (1.294-1)/3.311 = 1.237 = 0.92 dB; F(2,3) = 1.194 + (1.294-1)/3.311 = 1.237 = 0.92 dB; F(2,3) = 1.194 + (1.294-1)/3.311 = 1.237 = 0.92 dB
(1.262-1)/5.888 = 1.244 = 0.95dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.599 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.191 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.015) + i \cdot (0.257)| = 0.258 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 15.60 = 11.93 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.022; C_1 = (-0.476) + j \cdot (0.142); \Gamma_S = (-0.756) + j \cdot (-0.226) = 0.789 \angle -163.4^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.845; C_2 = (-0.251) + j \cdot (-0.318); \Gamma_L = (-0.464) + j \cdot (0.588) = 0.749 \angle 128.3^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 152.7^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -2.569; \theta_{p1} = 111.3^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 10.6^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 2.569; \theta_{p2} = 68.7^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 5.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.261; \theta_{p1} = 113.9^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.261; \theta_{p2} = 66.1^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 5.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.261 + (-2.569) = -4.831; \theta_{v1} = 101.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 152.7^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.6^{\circ} ; Im(y_L) = 2.261 + (-2.569) = -0.308; \theta_{p2} = 162.9^{\circ} ; \theta_{S1} = 152.7^{\circ} ;
d3) \theta_{L1} = 5.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.261 + (2.569) = 0.308; \theta_{p3} = 17.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 10.6^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.261 + (2.569) = 4.831; \theta_{p4} = 78.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 10.6^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 5.1 + 152.7 = 157.9; \theta_p = 101.7; A ~ 16053.6
e2) \theta_s = 46.6 + 152.7 = 199.3; \theta_p = 162.9; A ~ 32469.7
e3) \theta_s = 5.1 + 10.6 = 15.8; \theta_p = 17.1; A ~ 269.8
e4) \theta_s = 46.6 + 10.6 = 57.2 ; \theta_p = 78.3 ; A ~ 4482.7
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 90\Omega / (41.2 + j \cdot 37.4)\Omega = 1.198 - j \cdot 1.087
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0195 + j \cdot 0.0299)] / (0.02 + 0.0195 + j \cdot 0.0299)
\Gamma = (-0.356) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.487) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.604 \angle -126.2^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless quadrature coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 28.95 dB
P_{in} = 3.10 mW = 4.914 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 4.914 dBm - 28.95 dB = -24.04 dBm = 3.948 \mu W
b) L2, C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.465, y_2 = 1.130, y_1 = 0.525, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 95.2 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 44.3\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.50)\Omega} = 50.00\Omega
b) Z_L = 50\Omega series with 0.78nH inductor at 9.6GHz = 50.00\Omega + i \cdot (47.05)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 26.52\Omega + j \cdot (-24.95)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 14.95dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.2 + 11.0 = 16.2dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.7 + 8.0 = 6.2dB
16.7dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.7 + 11.0 = 19.7dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.0 + 11.0 = 19.0dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.53dB = 1.130, F_2 = 0.75dB = 1.189, F_3 = 1.05dB = 1.274, F_4 = 1.22dB = 1.324, G_1 = 5.2dB = 3.311,
G_2 = 8.7 dB = 7.413; F(1,4) = 1.130 + (1.324 - 1)/3.311 = 1.228 = 0.89 dB; F(2,3) = 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.189 + 1.1
(1.274-1)/7.413 = 1.232 = 0.91dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.603 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.559 < 1; K = 1.195 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.229) + j \cdot (-0.082)| = 0.243 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 8.83 = 9.46 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 0.992; C_1 = (-0.198) + j \cdot (0.443); \Gamma_S = (-0.329) + j \cdot (-0.736) = 0.807 \angle -114.1^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.890; C_2 = (-0.431) + j \cdot (-0.032); \Gamma_L = (-0.784) + j \cdot (0.059) = 0.786 \angle 175.7^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 128.9^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.731 ; \theta_{p1} = 110.1^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 165.2^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.731 ; \theta_{p2} = 69.9^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 163.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.546; \theta_{p1} = 111.4^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 21.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.546; \theta_{p2} = 68.6^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 163.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.546 + (-2.731) = -5.277; \theta_{p1} = 100.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 128.9^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 21.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.546 + (-2.731) = -0.185; \theta_{p2} = 169.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 128.9^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 163.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.546 + (2.731) = 0.185; \theta_{p3} = 10.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 165.2^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 21.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.546 + (2.731) = 5.277; \theta_{p4} = 79.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 165.2^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 163.1 + 128.9 = 292.0; \theta_p = 100.7; A ~ 29415.1
e2) \theta_s = 21.2 + 128.9 = 150.2; \theta_p = 169.5; A ~ 25458.6
e3) \theta_s = 163.1 + 165.2 = 328.2; \theta_p = 10.5; A ~ 3435.4
e4) \theta_s = 21.2 + 165.2 = 186.4; \theta_p = 79.3; A ~ 14774.7
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 30\Omega / (69.2 - j.53.4)\Omega = 0.272 + j.0.210
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0133 - j \cdot 0.0316)] / (0.02 + 0.0133 - j \cdot 0.0316)
\Gamma = (-0.368) + j \cdot (0.600) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.704 \angle 121.5^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless ring coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 31.10 dB
P_{in} = 3.45 mW = 5.378 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 5.378 dBm - 31.10 dB = -25.72 dBm = 2.678 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.473, y_1 = 0.473, y_2 = 0.881, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 105.7 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 56.8\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50 \cdot 71)\Omega} = 59.58\Omega
b) Z_L = 71\Omega parallel with 0.42pF capacitor at 9.9GHz = 15.99\Omega + j·(-29.66)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (92.75)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 14.75dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.9 + 11.1 = 17.0dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.6 + 8.8 = 6.8
17.4dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.6 + 11.1 = 19.7dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.8 + 11.1 = 19.9dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.55dB = 1.135, F_2 = 0.86dB = 1.219, F_3 = 0.90dB = 1.230, F_4 = 1.13dB = 1.297, G_1 = 5.9dB = 3.890,
G_2 = 8.6dB = 7.244; F(1,4) = 1.135 + (1.297 - 1)/3.890 = 1.211 = 0.83dB; F(2,3) = 1.219 + (1.297 - 1)/3.890
(1.230-1)/7.244 = 1.260 = 1.00dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.608 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.157 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.048) + i \cdot (0.263)| = 0.267 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 16.74 = 12.24 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.028; C_1 = (-0.491) + j \cdot (0.108); \Gamma_S = (-0.788) + j \cdot (-0.173) = 0.807 \angle -167.6^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.829; C_2 = (-0.238) + j \cdot (-0.322); \Gamma_L = (-0.454) + j \cdot (0.615) = 0.765 \angle 126.4^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 155.7^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.732 ; \theta_{p1} = 110.1^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 11.9^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.732 ; \theta_{p2} = 69.9^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 6.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.373; \theta_{p1} = 112.8^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.373; \theta_{p2} = 67.2^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 6.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.373 + (-2.732) = -5.105; \theta_{v1} = 101.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 155.7^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.373 + (-2.732) = -0.358; \theta_{p2} = 160.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 155.7^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 6.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.373 + (2.732) = 0.358; \theta_{p3} = 19.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 11.9^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.373 + (2.732) = 5.105; \theta_{p4} = 78.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 11.9^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 6.7 + 155.7 = 162.4; \theta_p = 101.1; A ~ 16418.7
e2) \theta_s = 46.8 + 155.7 = 202.5; \theta_p = 160.3; A ~ 32468.6
e3) \theta_s = 6.7 + 11.9 = 18.6; \theta_p = 19.7; A ~ 367.1
e4) \theta_s = 46.8 + 11.9 = 58.8; \theta_p = 78.9; A ~ 4637.0
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 70\Omega / (31.2 - j.59.0)\Omega = 0.490 + j.0.927
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0249 + j \cdot 0.0350)] / (0.02 + 0.0249 + j \cdot 0.0350)
\Gamma = (-0.446) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.432) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.621 \angle -135.9^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless ring coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 26.35 dB
P_{in} = 3.30 mW = 5.185 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 5.185 dBm - 26.35 dB = -21.16 dBm = 7.647 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{\text{-C/20}} = 0.585, y_1 = 0.585, y_2 = 0.811, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 85.4 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 61.7\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50 \cdot 74)\Omega} = 60.83\Omega
b) Z_L = 74\Omega series with 0.73nH inductor at 8.7GHz = 74.00\Omega + i \cdot (39.90)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 38.74\Omega + j \cdot (-20.89)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 16.30dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.0 + 11.9 = 16.9dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.2 + 9.4 = 6.9dB
17.6dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.2 + 11.9 = 20.1dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.4 + 11.9 = 21.3dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.66dB = 1.164, F_2 = 0.78dB = 1.197, F_3 = 1.02dB = 1.265, F_4 = 1.19dB = 1.315, G_1 = 5.0dB = 3.162,
G_2 = 8.2 dB = 6.607; F(1,4) = 1.164 + (1.315-1)/3.162 = 1.264 = 1.02 dB; F(2,3) = 1.197 + 1.164 + (1.315-1)/3.162 = 1.264 = 1.02 dB; F(2,3) = 1.197 + 1.164 + (1.315-1)/3.162 = 1.264 = 1.02 dB; F(2,3) = 1.197 + 1.164 + (1.315-1)/3.162 = 1.264 = 1.02 dB; F(2,3) = 1.197 + 1.164 + (1.315-1)/3.162 = 1.264 = 1.02 dB; F(2,3) = 1.197 + 1.164 + (1.315-1)/3.162 = 1.264 = 1.02 dB; F(2,3) = 1.197 + 1.164 + (1.315-1)/3.162 = 1.264 = 1.02 dB
(1.265-1)/6.607 = 1.244 = 0.95dB;
F(1,4) > F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 2,3
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.620 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.114 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.096) + i \cdot (0.264)| = 0.281 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 18.51 = 12.67 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.035; C_1 = (-0.506) + j \cdot (0.059); \Gamma_S = (-0.827) + j \cdot (-0.097) = 0.833 \angle -173.3^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.807; C_2 = (-0.220) + j \cdot (-0.325); \Gamma_L = (-0.443) + j \cdot (0.654) = 0.790 \angle 124.1^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 159.9^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -3.011; \theta_{p1} = 108.4^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 13.5^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 3.011; \theta_{p2} = 71.6^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 9.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.574; \theta_{p1} = 111.2^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.574; \theta_{p2} = 68.8^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 9.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.574 + (-3.011) = -5.585; \theta_{v1} = 100.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 159.9^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.574 + (-3.011) = -0.436; \theta_{p2} = 156.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 159.9^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 9.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.574 + (3.011) = 0.436; \theta_{p3} = 23.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 13.5^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.574 + (3.011) = 5.585; \theta_{p4} = 79.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 13.5^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 9.0 + 159.9 = 168.9; \theta_p = 100.2; A ~ 16915.2
e2) \theta_s = 46.9 + 159.9 = 206.7; \theta_p = 156.4; A ~ 32339.5
e3) \theta_s = 9.0 + 13.5 = 22.5; \theta_p = 23.6; A ~ 530.2
e4) \theta_s = 46.9 + 13.5 = 60.3; \theta_p = 79.8; A ~ 4817.6
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 50\Omega / (56.6 + j \cdot 36.8)\Omega = 0.621 - j \cdot 0.404
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0105 - j \cdot 0.0151)] / (0.02 + 0.0105 - j \cdot 0.0151)
\Gamma = (0.053) + j \cdot (0.521) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.524 \angle 84.2^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 31.20 dB
P_{in} = 3.90 mW = 5.911 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 5.911 dBm - 31.20 dB = -25.29 dBm = 2.958 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.468, Z_{CE} = 83.03\Omega, Z_{CO} = 30.11\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.65)\Omega} = 57.01\Omega
b) Z_L = 65\Omega series with 0.30pF capacitor at 9.0GHz = 65.00\Omega + i \cdot (-58.95)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 27.44\Omega + j \cdot (24.88)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 16.85dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.1 + 11.4 = 17.5dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.2 + 9.9 = 6.1 + 11.4 = 17.5dB
17.1dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.2 + 11.4 = 18.6dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.9 + 11.4 = 21.3dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.57dB = 1.140, F_2 = 0.70dB = 1.175, F_3 = 0.94dB = 1.242, F_4 = 1.19dB = 1.315, G_1 = 6.1dB = 4.074,
G_2 = 7.2 dB = 5.248; F(1,4) = 1.140 + (1.315-1)/4.074 = 1.218 = 0.86 dB; F(2,3) = 1.175 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018 + 1.018
(1.242-1)/5.248 = 1.235 = 0.92dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.639 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.193 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.264) + i \cdot (0.084)| = 0.277 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 10.26 = 10.11 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.029; C_1 = (-0.366) + j \cdot (0.348); \Gamma_S = (-0.595) + j \cdot (-0.566) = 0.822 \angle -136.4^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.818; C_2 = (-0.376) + j \cdot (-0.125); \Gamma_L = (-0.740) + j \cdot (0.245) = 0.779 \angle 161.7^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 140.8^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -2.883; \theta_{p1} = 109.1^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 175.6^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 2.883; \theta_{p2} = 70.9^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 169.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.488; \theta_{p1} = 111.9^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 28.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.488; \theta_{p2} = 68.1^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 169.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.488 + (-2.883) = -5.372; \theta_{p1} = 100.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 140.8^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 28.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.488 + (-2.883) = -0.395; \theta_{p2} = 158.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 140.8^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 169.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.488 + (2.883) = 0.395; \theta_{p3} = 21.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 175.6^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 28.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.488 + (2.883) = 5.372; \theta_{p4} = 79.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 175.6^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 169.8 + 140.8 = 310.6; \theta_p = 100.5; A ~ 31230.5
e2) \theta_s = 28.6 + 140.8 = 169.4; \theta_p = 158.5; A ~ 26842.5
e3) \theta_s = 169.8 + 175.6 = 345.4; \theta_p = 21.5; A ~ 7440.4
e4) \theta_s = 28.6 + 175.6 = 204.1; \theta_p = 79.5; A ~ 16220.5
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 80\Omega / (43.6 + j \cdot 37.4)\Omega = 1.057 - j \cdot 0.907
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0336 + j \cdot 0.0168)] / (0.02 + 0.0336 + j \cdot 0.0168)
\Gamma = (-0.320) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.213) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.385 \angle -146.4^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless ring coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 24.95 dB
P_{in} = 1.85 mW = 2.672 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 2.672 dBm - 24.95 dB = -22.28 dBm = 5.918 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{\text{-C/20}} = 0.613, y_1 = 0.613, y_2 = 0.790, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 81.6 \ \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 63.3 \ \Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.54)\Omega} = 51.96\Omega
b) Z_L = 54\Omega series with 0.37pF capacitor at 6.6GHz = 54.00\Omega + i \cdot (-65.17)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 20.35\Omega + j \cdot (24.56)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 16.05dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.1 + 11.7 = 17.8dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.5 + 9.4 = 6.1 + 11.7 = 17.8dB
17.9dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.5 + 11.7 = 20.2dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.4 + 11.7 = 21.1dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.67dB = 1.167, F_2 = 0.76dB = 1.191, F_3 = 0.97dB = 1.250, F_4 = 1.20dB = 1.318, G_1 = 6.1dB = 4.074,
G_2 = 8.5 dB = 7.079; F(1,4) = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.245 = 0.95 dB; F(2,3) = 1.191 + 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.245 = 0.95 dB; F(2,3) = 1.191 + 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.245 = 0.95 dB; F(2,3) = 1.191 + 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.245 = 0.95 dB; F(2,3) = 1.191 + 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.245 = 0.95 dB; F(2,3) = 1.191 + 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.245 = 0.95 dB; F(2,3) = 1.191 + 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.245 = 0.95 dB; F(2,3) = 1.191 + 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)/4.074 = 1.167 + (1.318-1)
(1.250-1)/7.079 = 1.236 = 0.92dB;
F(1,4) > F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 2,3
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.644 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.101 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.126) + i \cdot (0.250)| = 0.280 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 22.09 = 13.44 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.066; C_1 = (-0.525) + j \cdot (-0.045); \Gamma_S = (-0.853) + j \cdot (0.073) = 0.856 \angle 175.1^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.777; C_2 = (-0.211) + j \cdot (-0.316); \Gamma_L = (-0.447) + j \cdot (0.671) = 0.807 \angle 123.7^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 166.9^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -3.311 ; \theta_{p1} = 106.8^{\circ} \underline{or} \theta_{S2} = 18.0^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 3.311 ; \theta_{p2} = 73.2^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 10.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.730; \theta_{p1} = 110.1^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.730; \theta_{p2} = 69.9^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 10.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.730 + (-3.311) = -6.042; \theta_{p1} = 99.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 166.9^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.3^{\circ} ; Im(y_L) = 2.730 + (-3.311) = -0.581; \theta_{p2} = 149.8^{\circ} ; \theta_{S1} = 166.9^{\circ} ;
d3) \theta_{L1} = 10.0^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.730 + (3.311) = 0.581; \theta_{p3} = 30.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 18.0^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.730 + (3.311) = 6.042; \theta_{p4} = 80.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 18.0^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 10.0 + 166.9 = 176.9; \theta_p = 99.4; A ~ 17586.7
e2) \theta_s = 46.3 + 166.9 = 213.2; \theta_p = 149.8; A ~ 31937.7
e3) \theta_s = 10.0 + 18.0 = 28.1 ; \theta_p = 30.2 ; A \sim 846.6
e4) \theta_s = 46.3 + 18.0 = 64.3; \theta_p = 80.6; A ~ 5181.6
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 85\Omega / (44.4 - j \cdot 56.6)\Omega = 0.729 + j \cdot 0.930
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0184 - j \cdot 0.0361)] / (0.02 + 0.0184 - j \cdot 0.0361)
\Gamma = (-0.447) + j \cdot (0.520) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.686 \angle 130.7^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless quadrature coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 21.50dB
P_{in} = 4.05 mW = 6.075 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 6.075 dBm - 21.50 dB = -15.43 dBm = 28.672 \mu W
b) L2, C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.572, y_2 = 1.219, y_1 = 0.698, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 71.7 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 41.0\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.67)\Omega} = 57.88\Omega
b) Z_L = 67\Omega series with 1.05nH inductor at 7.5GHz = 67.00\Omega + i \cdot (49.48)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 32.35\Omega + j \cdot (-23.89)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 14.55dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.1 + 11.6 = 16.7dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.7 + 9.4 = 6.4
18.1dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.7 + 11.6 = 20.3dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.4 + 11.6 = 21.0dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.51dB = 1.125, F_2 = 0.80dB = 1.202, F_3 = 0.94dB = 1.242, F_4 = 1.21dB = 1.321, G_1 = 5.1dB = 3.236,
G_2 = 8.7 dB = 7.413; F(1,4) = 1.125 + (1.321-1)/3.236 = 1.224 = 0.88 dB; F(2,3) = 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202 + 1.202
(1.242-1)/7.413 = 1.246 = 0.95dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.635 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.105 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.115) + i \cdot (0.256)| = 0.280 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 20.69 = 13.16 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.054; C_1 = (-0.520) + j \cdot (-0.005); \Gamma_S = (-0.848) + j \cdot (0.008) = 0.848 \angle 179.4^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.789; C_2 = (-0.214) + j \cdot (-0.320); \Gamma_L = (-0.446) + j \cdot (0.665) = 0.801 \angle 123.9^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 164.3^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -3.202; \theta_{p1} = 107.3^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 16.3^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 3.202; \theta_{p2} = 72.7^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 9.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.677; \theta_{p1} = 110.5^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.677; \theta_{p2} = 69.5^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 9.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.677 + (-3.202) = -5.878; \theta_{p1} = 99.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 164.3^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.677 + (-3.202) = -0.525; \theta_{p2} = 152.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 164.3^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 9.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.677 + (3.202) = 0.525; \theta_{p3} = 27.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 16.3^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.677 + (3.202) = 5.878; \theta_{p4} = 80.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 16.3^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 9.7 + 164.3 = 174.0; \theta_p = 99.7; A ~ 17337.3
e2) \theta_s = 46.5 + 164.3 = 210.7; \theta_p = 152.3; A ~ 32095.0
e3) \theta_s = 9.7 + 16.3 = 26.0; \theta_p = 27.7; A ~ 719.4
e4) \theta_s = 46.5 + 16.3 = 62.7; \theta_p = 80.3; A ~ 5040.3
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 40\Omega / (30.7 + j \cdot 53.0)\Omega = 0.327 - j \cdot 0.565
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0315 - j \cdot 0.0161)] / (0.02 + 0.0315 - j \cdot 0.0161)
\Gamma = (-0.292) + j \cdot (0.221) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.367 \angle 142.9^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 24.50dB
P_{in} = 2.80 mW = 4.472 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 4.472 dBm - 24.50 dB = -20.03 dBm = 9.935 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.631, Z_{CE} = 105.11\Omega, Z_{CO} = 23.78\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.46)\Omega} = 47.96\Omega
b) Z_L = 46\Omega series with 0.30pF capacitor at 9.3GHz = 46.00\Omega + j \cdot (-57.04)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 19.70\Omega + j \cdot (24.43)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.10dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.6 + 10.3 = 15.9dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.5 + 9.1 = 10.3 = 15.9dB
17.6dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.5 + 10.3 = 18.8dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.1 + 10.3 = 19.4dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.64dB = 1.159, F_2 = 0.82dB = 1.208, F_3 = 0.98dB = 1.253, F_4 = 1.19dB = 1.315, G_1 = 5.6dB = 3.631,
G_2 = 8.5 dB = 7.079; F(1,4) = 1.159 + (1.315-1)/3.631 = 1.246 = 0.95 dB; F(2,3) = 1.208 + 1.246 = 0.95 dB; F(2,3) = 0.95 
(1.253-1)/7.079 = 1.252 = 0.98dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.633 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.218 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.262) + i \cdot (0.015)| = 0.263 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 9.69 = 9.87 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.029; C_1 = (-0.319) + j \cdot (0.390); \Gamma_S = (-0.516) + j \cdot (-0.630) = 0.814 \angle -129.3^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.833; C_2 = (-0.391) + j \cdot (-0.099); \Gamma_L = (-0.750) + j \cdot (0.190) = 0.774 \angle 165.8^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 136.9^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.803 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.6^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 172.4^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.803 ; \theta_{p2} = 70.4^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 167.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.445; \theta_{p1} = 112.2^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 26.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.445; \theta_{p2} = 67.8^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 167.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.445 + (-2.803) = -5.248; \theta_{p1} = 100.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 136.9^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 26.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.445 + (-2.803) = -0.358; \theta_{p2} = 160.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 136.9^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 167.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.445 + (2.803) = 0.358; \theta_{p3} = 19.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 172.4^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 26.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.445 + (2.803) = 5.248; \theta_{p4} = 79.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 172.4^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 167.5 + 136.9 = 304.4; \theta_p = 100.8; A ~ 30676.0
e2) \theta_s = 26.7 + 136.9 = 163.6; \theta_p = 160.3; A ~ 26229.3
e3) \theta_s = 167.5 + 172.4 = 339.9; \theta_p = 19.7; A ~ 6701.9
e4) \theta_s = 26.7 + 172.4 = 199.2; \theta_p = 79.2; A ~ 15775.2
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 50\Omega / (49.9 + j \cdot 60.2)\Omega = 0.408 - j \cdot 0.492
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0327 - j \cdot 0.0257)] / (0.02 + 0.0327 - j \cdot 0.0257)
\Gamma = (-0.387) + j \cdot (0.299) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.489 \angle 142.3^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 21.00dB
P_{in} = 2.70 mW = 4.314 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 4.314 dBm - 21.00 dB = -16.69 dBm = 21.447 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.631, Z_{CE} = 105.11\Omega, Z_{CO} = 23.78\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50 \cdot 70)\Omega} = 59.16\Omega
b) Z_L = 70\Omega parallel with 1.39nH inductor at 7.7GHz = 33.60\Omega + j·(34.97)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (-52.05)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.60dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.0 + 11.3 = 17.3dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.6 + 8.5 = 6.0 + 11.3 = 17.3dB
17.1dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.6 + 11.3 = 19.9dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.5 + 11.3 = 19.8dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.54dB = 1.132, F_2 = 0.72dB = 1.180, F_3 = 0.94dB = 1.242, F_4 = 1.15dB = 1.303, G_1 = 6.0dB = 3.981,
G_2 = 8.6dB = 7.244; F(1,4) = 1.132 + (1.303-1)/3.981 = 1.209 = 0.82dB; F(2,3) = 1.180 + 1.180
(1.242-1)/7.244 = 1.222 = 0.87dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.600 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.560 < 1; K = 1.192 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.225) + j \cdot (-0.088)| = 0.242 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 8.77 = 9.43 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 0.988; C_1 = (-0.187) + j \cdot (0.445); \Gamma_S = (-0.313) + j \cdot (-0.743) = 0.806 \angle -112.8^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.895; C_2 = (-0.434) + j \cdot (-0.026); \Gamma_L = (-0.787) + j \cdot (0.047) = 0.788 \angle 176.6^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 128.3^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.727 ; \theta_{p1} = 110.1^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 164.5^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.727 ; \theta_{p2} = 69.9^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 162.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.560; \theta_{p1} = 111.3^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 20.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.560; \theta_{p2} = 68.7^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 162.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.560 + (-2.727) = -5.287; \theta_{p1} = 100.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 128.3^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 20.7^{\circ} ; Im(y_L) = 2.560 + (-2.727) = -0.168; \theta_{p2} = 170.5^{\circ} ; \theta_{S1} = 128.3^{\circ} ;
d3) \theta_{L1} = 162.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.560 + (2.727) = 0.168; \theta_{p3} = 9.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 164.5^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 20.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.560 + (2.727) = 5.287; \theta_{p4} = 79.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 164.5^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 162.7 + 128.3 = 291.0; \theta_p = 100.7; A ~ 29306.1
e2) \theta_s = 20.7 + 128.3 = 149.0; \theta_p = 170.5; A ~ 25400.9
e3) \theta_s = 162.7 + 164.5 = 327.2; \theta_p = 9.5; A ~ 3114.9
e4) \theta_s = 20.7 + 164.5 = 185.2; \theta_p = 79.3; A ~ 14688.2
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 30\Omega/(35.4 + j.58.9)\Omega = 0.225 - j.0.374
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0342 + j \cdot 0.0358)] / (0.02 + 0.0342 + j \cdot 0.0358)
\Gamma = (-0.486) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.339) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.593 \angle -145.1^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless quadrature coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 22.90dB
P_{in} = 1.75 mW = 2.430 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 2.430 dBm - 22.90 dB = -20.47 dBm = 8.975 \mu W
b) L2, C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.490, y_2 = 1.147, y_1 = 0.562, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 89.0 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 43.6\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.28)\Omega} = 37.42\Omega
b) Z_L = 28\Omega series with 1.44nH inductor at 7.5GHz = 28.00\Omega + i \cdot (67.86)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 7.27\Omega + j \cdot (-17.63)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 14.65dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.1 + 11.9 = 17.0dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.2 + 8.6 = 6.4
16.8dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.2 + 11.9 = 20.1dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.6 + 11.9 = 20.5dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.64dB = 1.159, F_2 = 0.81dB = 1.205, F_3 = 0.98dB = 1.253, F_4 = 1.25dB = 1.334, G_1 = 5.1dB = 3.236,
G_2 = 8.2 dB = 6.607; F(1,4) = 1.159 + (1.334-1)/3.236 = 1.262 = 1.01 dB; F(2,3) = 1.205 + 1.262 = 1.01 dB; F(2,3) = 1.205 + 1.262 = 1.01 dB; F(2,3) = 1.205 + 1.262 = 1.01 dB
(1.253-1)/6.607 = 1.256 = 0.99dB;
F(1,4) > F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 2,3
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.623 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.112 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.100) + i \cdot (0.262)| = 0.281 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 18.93 = 12.77 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.039; C_1 = (-0.509) + j \cdot (0.047); \Gamma_S = (-0.833) + j \cdot (-0.076) = 0.836 \angle -174.8^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.804; C_2 = (-0.219) + i \cdot (-0.324); \Gamma_L = (-0.443) + i \cdot (0.656) = 0.792 \angle 124.0^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 160.8^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -3.049; \theta_{p1} = 108.2^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 14.0^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 3.049; \theta_{p2} = 71.8^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 9.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.596; \theta_{p1} = 111.1^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.596; \theta_{p2} = 68.9^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 9.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.596 + (-3.049) = -5.645; \theta_{v1} = 100.0^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 160.8^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.596 + (-3.049) = -0.454; \theta_{p2} = 155.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 160.8^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 9.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.596 + (3.049) = 0.454; \theta_{p3} = 24.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 14.0^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.596 + (3.049) = 5.645; \theta_{p4} = 80.0^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 14.0^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 9.2 + 160.8 = 169.9; \theta_p = 100.0; A ~ 17000.2
e2) \theta_s = 46.8 + 160.8 = 207.5; \theta_p = 155.6; A ~ 32293.4
e3) \theta_s = 9.2 + 14.0 = 23.2; \theta_p = 24.4; A ~ 565.6
e4) \theta_s = 46.8 + 14.0 = 60.8; \theta_p = 80.0; A ~ 4861.0
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 85\Omega / (33.8 - j.69.6)\Omega = 0.480 + j.0.988
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0352 - j \cdot 0.0178)] / (0.02 + 0.0352 - j \cdot 0.0178)
\Gamma = (-0.344) + j \cdot (0.212) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.404 \angle 148.4^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless ring coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 20.70dB
P_{in} = 3.80 mW = 5.798 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 5.798 dBm - 20.70 dB = -14.90 dBm = 32.343 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.465, y_1 = 0.465, y_2 = 0.885, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 107.5 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 56.5\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50 \cdot 27)\Omega} = 36.74\Omega
b) Z_L = 27\Omega parallel with 0.43pF capacitor at 9.3GHz = 18.49\Omega + j·(-12.54)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (33.92)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.95dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.7 + 11.6 = 18.3dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.1 + 8.9 = 6.7 + 11.6 = 18.3dB
17.0dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.1 + 11.6 = 19.7dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.9 + 11.6 = 20.5dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.62dB = 1.153, F_2 = 0.83dB = 1.211, F_3 = 1.03dB = 1.268, F_4 = 1.23dB = 1.327, G_1 = 6.7dB = 4.677,
G_2 = 8.1 dB = 6.457; F(1,4) = 1.153 + (1.327 - 1)/4.677 = 1.223 = 0.88 dB; F(2,3) = 1.211 + (1.327 - 1)/4.677
(1.268-1)/6.457 = 1.261 = 1.01dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.614 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.136 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.072) + i \cdot (0.264)| = 0.274 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 17.58 = 12.45 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.031; C_1 = (-0.499) + j \cdot (0.084); \Gamma_S = (-0.808) + j \cdot (-0.136) = 0.819 \angle -170.5^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.818; C_2 = (-0.229) + j \cdot (-0.324); \Gamma_L = (-0.448) + j \cdot (0.634) = 0.777 \angle 125.2^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 157.7^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -2.860; \theta_{p1} = 109.3^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 12.7^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 2.860; \theta_{p2} = 70.7^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 7.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.465; \theta_{p1} = 112.1^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.465; \theta_{p2} = 67.9^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 7.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.465 + (-2.860) = -5.324; \theta_{v1} = 100.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 157.7^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.465 + (-2.860) = -0.395; \theta_{p2} = 158.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 157.7^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 7.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.465 + (2.860) = 0.395; \theta_{p3} = 21.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 12.7^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.465 + (2.860) = 5.324; \theta_{p4} = 79.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 12.7^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 7.8 + 157.7 = 165.6; \theta_p = 100.6; A ~ 16665.1
e2) \theta_s = 46.9 + 157.7 = 204.7; \theta_p = 158.4; A ~ 32425.6
e3) \theta_s = 7.8 + 12.7 = 20.6; \theta_p = 21.6; A ~ 443.3
e4) \theta_s = 46.9 + 12.7 = 59.6; \theta_p = 79.4; A ~ 4731.7
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 70\Omega / (31.4 + j \cdot 55.9)\Omega = 0.535 - j \cdot 0.952
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0289 + j \cdot 0.0158)] / (0.02 + 0.0289 + j \cdot 0.0158)
\Gamma = (-0.259) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.239) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.353 \angle -137.3^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless ring coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 25.85 dB
P_{in} = 4.00 mW = 6.021 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 6.021 dBm - 25.85 dB = -19.83 dBm = 10.401 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{\text{-C/20}} = 0.516, y_1 = 0.516, y_2 = 0.857, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 96.9 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 58.4 \Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.57)\Omega} = 53.39\Omega
b) Z_L = 57\Omega parallel with 0.79pF capacitor at 6.6GHz = 12.70\Omega + i \cdot (-23.72)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (93.37)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.15dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.2 + 11.6 = 16.8dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.1 + 8.8 = 6.8dB
15.9dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.1 + 11.6 = 18.7dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.8 + 11.6 = 20.4dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.53dB = 1.130, F_2 = 0.88dB = 1.225, F_3 = 1.00dB = 1.259, F_4 = 1.27dB = 1.340, G_1 = 5.2dB = 3.311,
G_2 = 7.1 dB = 5.129; F(1,4) = 1.130 + (1.340-1)/3.311 = 1.232 = 0.91 dB; F(2,3) = 1.225 + 1.232 = 0.91 dB
(1.259-1)/5.129 = 1.291 = 1.11dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.632 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.106 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.111) + i \cdot (0.257)| = 0.280 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 20.24 = 13.06 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.051; C_1 = (-0.518) + j \cdot (0.008); \Gamma_S = (-0.845) + j \cdot (-0.013) = 0.845 \angle -179.1^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.792; C_2 = (-0.216) + j \cdot (-0.321); \Gamma_L = (-0.446) + j \cdot (0.663) = 0.799 \angle 123.9^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 163.4^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -3.164; \theta_{p1} = 107.5^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 15.7^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 3.164; \theta_{p2} = 72.5^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 9.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.657; \theta_{p1} = 110.6^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.657; \theta_{p2} = 69.4^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 9.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.657 + (-3.164) = -5.821; \theta_{p1} = 99.7^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 163.4^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.657 + (-3.164) = -0.507; \theta_{p2} = 153.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 163.4^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 9.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.657 + (3.164) = 0.507; \theta_{p3} = 26.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 15.7^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.5^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.657 + (3.164) = 5.821; \theta_{p4} = 80.3^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 15.7^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 9.6 + 163.4 = 173.0; \theta_p = 99.7; A ~ 17253.5
e2) \theta_s = 46.5 + 163.4 = 209.9; \theta_p = 153.1; A ~ 32146.3
e3) \theta_s = 9.6 + 15.7 = 25.3; \theta_p = 26.9; A ~ 679.2
e4) \theta_s = 46.5 + 15.7 = 62.2 ; \theta_p = 80.3 ; A ~ 4994.5
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 70\Omega / (31.9 - j.49.7)\Omega = 0.640 + j.0.998
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0260 + j \cdot 0.0291)] / (0.02 + 0.0260 + j \cdot 0.0291)
\Gamma = (-0.379) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.393) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.546 \angle -134.0^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless ring coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 27.95 dB
P_{in} = 3.25 mW = 5.119 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 5.119 dBm - 27.95 dB = -22.83 dBm = 5.211 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.606, y_1 = 0.606, y_2 = 0.795, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 82.5 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 62.9\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.51)\Omega} = 50.50\Omega
b) Z_L = 51\Omega parallel with 1.11nH inductor at 7.4GHz = 25.80\Omega + i \cdot (25.50)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (-49.41)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 14.50dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.8 + 10.3 = 16.1 dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.7 + 8.6 = 6.1 dB
16.3dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.7 + 10.3 = 18.0dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.6 + 10.3 = 18.9dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.66dB = 1.164, F_2 = 0.87dB = 1.222, F_3 = 1.05dB = 1.274, F_4 = 1.23dB = 1.327, G_1 = 5.8dB = 3.802,
G_2 = 7.7 dB = 5.888; F(1,4) = 1.164 + (1.327 - 1)/3.802 = 1.250 = 0.97 dB; F(2,3) = 1.222 + 1.250 = 0.97 dB
(1.274-1)/5.888 = 1.277 = 1.06dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.632 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.223 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.261) + i \cdot (0.003)| = 0.261 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 9.59 = 9.82 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.029; C_1 = (-0.311) + j \cdot (0.396); \Gamma_S = (-0.502) + j \cdot (-0.639) = 0.813 \angle -128.1^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.835; C_2 = (-0.393) + j \cdot (-0.094); \Gamma_L = (-0.752) + j \cdot (0.181) = 0.773 \angle 166.5^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 136.2^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.789 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.7^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 171.9^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.789 ; \theta_{p2} = 70.3^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 167.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.436; \theta_{p1} = 112.3^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 26.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.436; \theta_{p2} = 67.7^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 167.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.436 + (-2.789) = -5.225; \theta_{p1} = 100.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 136.2^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 26.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.436 + (-2.789) = -0.352; \theta_{p2} = 160.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 136.2^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 167.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.436 + (2.789) = 0.352; \theta_{p3} = 19.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 171.9^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 26.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.436 + (2.789) = 5.225; \theta_{p4} = 79.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 171.9^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 167.1 + 136.2 = 303.3; \theta_p = 100.8; A ~ 30583.6
e2) \theta_s = 26.4 + 136.2 = 162.7; \theta_p = 160.6; A ~ 26124.9
e3) \theta_s = 167.1 + 171.9 = 339.0; \theta_p = 19.4; A ~ 6581.3
e4) \theta_s = 26.4 + 171.9 = 198.3; \theta_p = 79.2; A ~ 15701.2
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 60\Omega / (49.2 - j \cdot 38.3)\Omega = 0.759 + j \cdot 0.591
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0305 + j \cdot 0.0172)] / (0.02 + 0.0305 + j \cdot 0.0172)
\Gamma = (-0.290) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.242) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.378 \angle -140.2^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless ring coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 27.85 dB
P_{in} = 1.15 mW = 0.607 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 0.607 dBm - 27.85 dB = -27.24 dBm = 1.887 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.516, y_1 = 0.516, y_2 = 0.857, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 96.9 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 58.4\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.53)\Omega} = 51.48\Omega
b) Z_L = 53\Omega parallel with 0.40pF capacitor at 8.0GHz = 24.82\Omega + i \cdot (-26.45)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (53.28)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 14.45dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.2 + 11.2 = 16.4dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.2 + 8.3 = 6.4dB
16.5dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.2 + 11.2 = 19.4dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.3 + 11.2 = 19.5dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.57dB = 1.140, F_2 = 0.73dB = 1.183, F_3 = 1.08dB = 1.282, F_4 = 1.15dB = 1.303, G_1 = 5.2dB = 3.311,
G_2 = 8.2 dB = 6.607; F(1,4) = 1.140 + (1.303-1)/3.311 = 1.232 = 0.91 dB; F(2,3) = 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183 + 1.183
(1.282-1)/6.607 = 1.229 = 0.90dB;
F(1,4) > F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 2,3
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.640 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.189 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.262) + i \cdot (0.095)| = 0.279 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 10.36 = 10.15 dB
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.029; C_1 = (-0.373) + j \cdot (0.340); \Gamma_S = (-0.608) + j \cdot (-0.555) = 0.823 \angle -137.6^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.815; C_2 = (-0.374) + j \cdot (-0.129); \Gamma_L = (-0.738) + j \cdot (0.254) = 0.780 \angle 161.0^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 141.5^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.897 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.0^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 176.1^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.897 ; \theta_{p2} = 71.0^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 170.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.496; \theta_{p1} = 111.8^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 28.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.496; \theta_{p2} = 68.2^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 170.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.496 + (-2.897) = -5.393; \theta_{p1} = 100.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 141.5^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 28.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.496 + (-2.897) = -0.401; \theta_{p2} = 158.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 141.5^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 170.1^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.496 + (2.897) = 0.401; \theta_{p3} = 21.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 176.1^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 28.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.496 + (2.897) = 5.393; \theta_{p4} = 79.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 176.1^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 170.1 + 141.5 = 311.7; \theta_p = 100.5; A ~ 31322.5
e2) \theta_s = 28.9 + 141.5 = 170.4; \theta_p = 158.1; A ~ 26941.0
e3) \theta_s = 170.1 + 176.1 = 346.3; \theta_p = 21.9; A ~ 7568.0
e4) \theta_s = 28.9 + 176.1 = 205.0; \theta_p = 79.5; A ~ 16294.6
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 85\Omega/(35.7 + j.66.3)\Omega = 0.535 - j.0.994
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0133 - j \cdot 0.0223)] / (0.02 + 0.0133 - j \cdot 0.0223)
\Gamma = (-0.171) + j \cdot (0.555) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.581 \angle 107.1^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 21.50dB
P_{in} = 1.80 mW = 2.553 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 2.553 dBm - 21.50 dB = -18.95 dBm = 12.743 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.617, Z_{CE} = 102.67\Omega, Z_{CO} = 24.35\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.46)\Omega} = 47.96\Omega
b) Z_L = 46\Omega series with 0.32pF capacitor at 7.3GHz = 46.00\Omega + i \cdot (-68.13)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 15.66\Omega + j \cdot (23.19)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.80dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 5.5 + 11.9 = 17.4dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.5 + 9.8 = 17.4dB
17.3dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.5 + 11.9 = 19.4dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.8 + 11.9 = 21.7dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.64dB = 1.159, F_2 = 0.84dB = 1.213, F_3 = 0.95dB = 1.245, F_4 = 1.25dB = 1.334, G_1 = 5.5dB = 3.548,
G_2 = 7.5 dB = 5.623; F(1,4) = 1.159 + (1.334 - 1)/3.548 = 1.253 = 0.98 dB; F(2,3) = 1.213 + 1.253 = 0.98 dB; F(2,3) = 1.213 + 1.253 = 0.98 dB; F(2,3) = 1.213 + 1.253 = 0.98 dB
(1.245-1)/5.623 = 1.273 = 1.05dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.626 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.110 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.103) + i \cdot (0.261)| = 0.280 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 19.36 = 12.87 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.043; C_1 = (-0.512) + j \cdot (0.034); \Gamma_S = (-0.837) + j \cdot (-0.055) = 0.839 \angle -176.2^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.800; C_2 = (-0.218) + j \cdot (-0.323); \Gamma_L = (-0.444) + j \cdot (0.659) = 0.795 \angle 124.0^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 161.6^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -3.088; \theta_{p1} = 107.9^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 14.6^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 3.088; \theta_{p2} = 72.1^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 9.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.617; \theta_{p1} = 110.9^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.617; \theta_{p2} = 69.1^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 9.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.617 + (-3.088) = -5.705; \theta_{p1} = 99.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 161.6^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.617 + (-3.088) = -0.471; \theta_{p2} = 154.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 161.6^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 9.3^{\circ} ; Im(y_L) = -2.617 + (3.088) = 0.471 ; \theta_{p3} = 25.2^{\circ} ; \theta_{S2} = 14.6^{\circ} ;
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.7^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.617 + (3.088) = 5.705; \theta_{p4} = 80.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 14.6^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 9.3 + 161.6 = 170.9; \theta_p = 99.9; A ~ 17084.9
e2) \theta_s = 46.7 + 161.6 = 208.3; \theta_p = 154.8; A ~ 32245.7
e3) \theta_s = 9.3 + 14.6 = 23.9; \theta_p = 25.2; A ~ 602.3
e4) \theta_s = 46.7 + 14.6 = 61.3; \theta_p = 80.1; A ~ 4905.0
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 100\Omega / (51.9 + j \cdot 67.1)\Omega = 0.721 - j \cdot 0.932
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0114 - j \cdot 0.0232)] / (0.02 + 0.0114 - j \cdot 0.0232)
\Gamma = (-0.176) + j \cdot (0.609) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.634 \angle 106.1^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless quadrature coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 30.70 dB
P_{in} = 3.40 mW = 5.315 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 5.315 dBm - 30.70 dB = -25.39 dBm = 2.894 \mu W
b) L2, C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.484, y_2 = 1.143, y_1 = 0.553, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 90.4 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 43.7\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.41)\Omega} = 45.28\Omega
b) Z_L = 41\Omega series with 0.74nH inductor at 9.5GHz = 41.00\Omega + i \cdot (44.17)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 23.14\Omega + j \cdot (-24.93)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 16.70dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.8 + 11.8 = 18.6dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.4 + 9.8 = 6.8 + 11.8 = 18.6dB
17.2dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.4 + 11.8 = 19.2dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.8 + 11.8 = 21.6dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.63dB = 1.156, F_2 = 0.88dB = 1.225, F_3 = 0.99dB = 1.256, F_4 = 1.26dB = 1.337, G_1 = 6.8dB = 4.786,
G_2 = 7.4dB = 5.495; F(1,4) = 1.156 + (1.337 - 1)/4.786 = 1.226 = 0.89dB; F(2,3) = 1.225 + 1.226 = 0.89dB
(1.256-1)/5.495 = 1.286 = 1.09dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.640 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.182 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.246) + i \cdot (0.141)| = 0.284 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 10.62 = 10.26 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.026; C_1 = (-0.400) + j \cdot (0.307); \Gamma_S = (-0.653) + j \cdot (-0.502) = 0.824 \angle -142.5^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.812; C_2 = (-0.364) + j \cdot (-0.151); \Gamma_L = (-0.722) + j \cdot (0.299) = 0.781 \angle 157.5^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 144.0^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.906 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.0^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 178.5^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.906 ; \theta_{p2} = 71.0^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 171.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.503; \theta_{p1} = 111.8^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 30.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.503; \theta_{p2} = 68.2^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 171.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.503 + (-2.906) = -5.409; \theta_{p1} = 100.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 144.0^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 30.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.503 + (-2.906) = -0.403; \theta_{p2} = 158.1^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 144.0^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 171.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.503 + (2.906) = 0.403; \theta_{p3} = 21.9^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 178.5^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 30.6^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.503 + (2.906) = 5.409; \theta_{p4} = 79.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 178.5^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 171.9 + 144.0 = 315.9; \theta_p = 100.5; A ~ 31740.9
e2) \theta_s = 30.6 + 144.0 = 174.5; \theta_p = 158.1; A ~ 27585.9
e3) \theta_s = 171.9 + 178.5 = 350.4; \theta_p = 21.9; A ~ 7691.5
e4) \theta_s = 30.6 + 178.5 = 209.1; \theta_p = 79.5; A ~ 16626.7
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 60\Omega / (62.2 - j.58.7)\Omega = 0.510 + j.0.482
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0299 + j \cdot 0.0282)] / (0.02 + 0.0299 + j \cdot 0.0282)
\Gamma = (-0.392) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.343) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.521 \angle -138.8^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 29.00 dB
P_{in} = 1.95 mW = 2.900 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 2.900 dBm - 29.00 dB = -26.10 dBm = 2.455 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.473, Z_{CE} = 83.61\Omega, Z_{CO} = 29.90\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.53)\Omega} = 51.48\Omega
b) Z_L = 53\Omega parallel with 0.35pF capacitor at 7.1GHz = 31.46\Omega + j·(-26.03)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (41.38)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.10dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.2 + 11.5 = 17.7dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.6 + 8.2 = 17.7dB
15.8dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.6 + 11.5 = 19.1dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.2 + 11.5 = 19.7dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.52dB = 1.127, F_2 = 0.89dB = 1.227, F_3 = 0.98dB = 1.253, F_4 = 1.21dB = 1.321, G_1 = 6.2dB = 4.169,
G_2 = 7.6dB = 5.754; F(1,4) = 1.127 + (1.321 - 1)/4.169 = 1.204 = 0.81dB; F(2,3) = 1.227 + (1.321 - 1)/4.169
(1.253-1)/5.754 = 1.283 = 1.08dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.638 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.550 < 1; K = 1.197 > 1; |\Delta| = |(0.265) + i \cdot (0.072)| = 0.274 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 10.18 = 10.08 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.029; C_1 = (-0.358) + j \cdot (0.355); \Gamma_S = (-0.583) + j \cdot (-0.578) = 0.821 \angle -135.2^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.820; C_2 = (-0.379) + j \cdot (-0.120); \Gamma_L = (-0.742) + j \cdot (0.236) = 0.779 \angle 162.4^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 140.2^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -2.872 ; \theta_{p1} = 109.2^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 175.0^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 2.872 ; \theta_{p2} = 70.8^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 169.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.483; \theta_{p1} = 111.9^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 28.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.483; \theta_{p2} = 68.1^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 169.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.483 + (-2.872) = -5.355; \theta_{p1} = 100.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 140.2^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 28.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.483 + (-2.872) = -0.389; \theta_{p2} = 158.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 140.2^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 169.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.483 + (2.872) = 0.389; \theta_{p3} = 21.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 175.0^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 28.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.483 + (2.872) = 5.355; \theta_{p4} = 79.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 175.0^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 169.4 + 140.2 = 309.6; \theta_p = 100.6; A ~ 31137.7
e2) \theta_s = 28.2 + 140.2 = 168.4; \theta_p = 158.8; A ~ 26740.5
e3) \theta_s = 169.4 + 175.0 = 344.4; \theta_p = 21.2; A ~ 7317.3
e4) \theta_s = 28.2 + 175.0 = 203.3; \theta_p = 79.4; A ~ 16145.9
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 70\Omega / (34.3 - j \cdot 38.7)\Omega = 0.898 + j \cdot 1.013
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0388 + j \cdot 0.0238)] / (0.02 + 0.0388 + j \cdot 0.0238)
\Gamma = (-0.415) + \text{j} \cdot (-0.237) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + \text{j} \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.478 \angle -150.3^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless coupled line coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 24.60dB
P_{in} = 3.05 mW = 4.843 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 4.843 dBm - 24.60 dB = -19.76 dBm = 10.575 \mu W
b) L2,C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.606, Z_{CE} = 100.95\Omega, Z_{CO} = 24.76\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50 \cdot 31)\Omega} = 39.37\Omega
b) Z_L = 31\Omega parallel with 0.62nH inductor at 9.0GHz = 17.40\Omega + j·(15.38)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (-44.21)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 16.70dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.0 + 11.1 = 17.1dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.1 + 9.9 = 6.0 + 11.1 = 17.1dB
18.0dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.1 + 11.1 = 19.2dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.9 + 11.1 = 21.0dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.53dB = 1.130, F_2 = 0.77dB = 1.194, F_3 = 0.92dB = 1.236, F_4 = 1.27dB = 1.340, G_1 = 6.0dB = 3.981,
G_2 = 8.1 dB = 6.457; F(1,4) = 1.130 + (1.340-1)/3.981 = 1.215 = 0.85 dB; F(2,3) = 1.194 + (1.340-1)/3.981
(1.236-1)/6.457 = 1.247 = 0.96dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.638 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.103 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.118) + i \cdot (0.254)| = 0.280 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 21.15 = 13.25 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.058; C_1 = (-0.522) + j \cdot (-0.018); \Gamma_S = (-0.850) + j \cdot (0.030) = 0.851 \angle 178.0^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.785; C_2 = (-0.213) + j \cdot (-0.318); \Gamma_L = (-0.447) + j \cdot (0.667) = 0.803 \angle 123.8^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 165.2^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = -3.239 ; \theta_{p1} = 107.2^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 16.9^{\circ} ; Im(y_S) = 3.239 ; \theta_{p2} = 72.8^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 9.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.695; \theta_{p1} = 110.4^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.695; \theta_{p2} = 69.6^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 9.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.695 + (-3.239) = -5.934; \theta_{p1} = 99.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 165.2^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.695 + (-3.239) = -0.544; \theta_{p2} = 151.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 165.2^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 9.8^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.695 + (3.239) = 0.544; \theta_{p3} = 28.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 16.9^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.4^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.695 + (3.239) = 5.934; \theta_{p4} = 80.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 16.9^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 9.8 + 165.2 = 175.0; \theta_p = 99.6; A ~ 17420.8
e2) \theta_s = 46.4 + 165.2 = 211.5; \theta_p = 151.5; A ~ 32042.9
e3) \theta_s = 9.8 + 16.9 = 26.7; \theta_p = 28.5; A ~ 760.7
e4) \theta_s = 46.4 + 16.9 = 63.2; \theta_p = 80.4; A ~ 5086.7
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 80\Omega / (33.0 - j \cdot 54.7)\Omega = 0.647 + j \cdot 1.072
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0206 - j \cdot 0.0147)] / (0.02 + 0.0206 - j \cdot 0.0147)
\Gamma = (-0.129) + j \cdot (0.315) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.341 \angle 112.2^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless quadrature coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = D + C = 30.30 dB
P_{in} = 1.55 mW = 1.903 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 1.903 dBm - 30.30 dB = -28.40 dBm = 1.447 \mu W
b) L2, C12/2017, \beta = 10^{-C/20} = 0.457, y_2 = 1.124, y_1 = 0.514, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 97.3 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 44.5\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.58)\Omega} = 53.85\Omega
b) Z_L = 58\Omega series with 0.33pF capacitor at 7.6GHz = 58.00\Omega + i \cdot (-63.46)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 22.76\Omega + j \cdot (24.90)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 16.65dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.7 + 10.2 = 16.9dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 8.4 + 9.8 = 6.4 + 10.2 = 16.9dB
18.2dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 8.4 + 10.2 = 18.6dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 9.8 + 10.2 = 20.0dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.69dB = 1.172, F_2 = 0.77dB = 1.194, F_3 = 1.07dB = 1.279, F_4 = 1.29dB = 1.346, G_1 = 6.7dB = 4.677,
G_2 = 8.4dB = 6.918; F(1,4) = 1.172 + (1.346-1)/4.677 = 1.246 = 0.96dB; F(2,3) = 1.194 + (1.346-1)/4.677
(1.279-1)/6.918 = 1.244 = 0.95dB;
F(1,4) > F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 2,3
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.650 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.099 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.133) + i \cdot (0.247)| = 0.281 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 23.05 = 13.63 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.073; C_1 = (-0.526) + j \cdot (-0.072); \Gamma_S = (-0.853) + j \cdot (0.117) = 0.861 \angle 172.2^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.769; C_2 = (-0.208) + j \cdot (-0.313); \Gamma_L = (-0.448) + j \cdot (0.675) = 0.810 \angle 123.6^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 168.6^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -3.381; \theta_{p1} = 106.5^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 19.2^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 3.381; \theta_{p2} = 73.5^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 10.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.761; \theta_{p1} = 109.9^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.761; \theta_{p2} = 70.1^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 10.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.761 + (-3.381) = -6.142; \theta_{p1} = 99.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 168.6^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.761 + (-3.381) = -0.620; \theta_{p2} = 148.2^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 168.6^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 10.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.761 + (3.381) = 0.620; \theta_{p3} = 31.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 19.2^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.2^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.761 + (3.381) = 6.142; \theta_{p4} = 80.8^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 19.2^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 10.3 + 168.6 = 178.9; \theta_p = 99.2; A ~ 17750.9
e2) \theta_s = 46.2 + 168.6 = 214.8; \theta_p = 148.2; A ~ 31832.7
e3) \theta_s = 10.3 + 19.2 = 29.5; \theta_p = 31.8; A ~ 936.5
e4) \theta_s = 46.2 + 19.2 = 65.4; \theta_p = 80.8; A ~ 5279.7
Smallest substrate area is occupied by solution e3 (d3)
```

```
1. y = Y/Y_0 = Z_0/Z = 100\Omega / (55.9 + j \cdot 61.3)\Omega = 0.812 - j \cdot 0.891
2. Y_0 = 0.02S; \Gamma = (Y_0 - Y) / (Y_0 + Y) = [0.02 - (0.0275 - j \cdot 0.0313)] / (0.02 + 0.0275 - j \cdot 0.0313)
\Gamma = (-0.413) + j \cdot (0.387) \leftrightarrow \text{Re}\Gamma + j \cdot \text{Im}\Gamma \text{ or } \Gamma = 0.566 \angle 136.9^{\circ} \leftrightarrow |\Gamma| \angle \text{arg}(\Gamma)
3. a) Lossless quadrature coupler, matched at the input; Isolation I = 20.20dB
P_{in} = 2.50 mW = 3.979 dBm; \ P_{is} = P_{in} - I = 3.979 dBm - 20.20 dB = -16.22 dBm = 23.875 \mu W
b) L2, C12/2017, \beta = 10^{\text{-C/20}} = 0.585, y_2 = 1.234, y_1 = 0.722, Z_1 = Z_0/y_1 = 69.2 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_0/y_2 = 40.5\Omega
4. a) Z_1 = \sqrt{(Z_0 \cdot R_L)} = \sqrt{(50.45)\Omega} = 47.43\Omega
b) Z_L = 45\Omega parallel with 0.73nH inductor at 7.6GHz = 16.88\Omega + j·(21.79)\Omega
\theta = \pi/4, \tan(\beta \cdot 1) \rightarrow \infty, Z_{in} = Z_1^2/Z_L = Z_0 \cdot R_L/Z_L = 50.00\Omega + j \cdot (-64.55)\Omega
5. a) From the 6 possible combinations, 2 don't meet the required gain (1,2; 1,3).
Valid combinations (G > 15.40dB): G = G_1 + G_4 = 6.8 + 10.5 = 17.3dB; G = G_2 + G_3 = 7.6 + 8.2 = 6.8 + 10.5 = 17.3dB
15.8dB; G = G_2 + G_4 = 7.6 + 10.5 = 18.1dB; G = G_3 + G_4 = 8.2 + 10.5 = 18.7dB;
b) Friis Formula (C9/2017, S92), F = F_a + (F_b - 1)/G_a; We note that F_1 < F_2 < F_3 < F_4;
From the 4 combinations that meet the gain requirement, we must compare only (1,4) and (2,3)
because F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_2 + (F_4-1)/G_2 and F_2 + (F_3-1)/G_2 < F_3 + (F_4-1)/G_3
F_1 = 0.56dB = 1.138, F_2 = 0.81dB = 1.205, F_3 = 0.93dB = 1.239, F_4 = 1.22dB = 1.324, G_1 = 6.8dB = 4.786,
G_2 = 7.6dB = 5.754; F(1,4) = 1.138 + (1.324 - 1)/4.786 = 1.205 = 0.81dB; F(2,3) = 1.205 + 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205 = 1.205
(1.239-1)/5.754 = 1.261 = 1.01dB;
F(1,4) < F(2,3) \rightarrow For minimal noise factor we must use devices 1,4
6. a) The transistor can be conjugately matched for maximum gain only if it is unconditionally stable:
|S_{11}| = 0.641 < 1; |S_{22}| = 0.520 < 1; K = 1.102 > 1; |\Delta| = |(-0.122) + i \cdot (0.252)| = 0.280 < 1
b_1) G_{\text{Tmax}} = |S_{21}|/|S_{12}| \cdot [K - \sqrt{(K^2 - 1)}] = 21.61 = 13.35 \text{dB}
b_2) Complex calculus from C8/2017, S106:
B_1 = 1.062; C_1 = (-0.523) + j \cdot (-0.032); \Gamma_S = (-0.852) + j \cdot (0.052) = 0.853 \angle 176.5^{\circ}
B_2 = 0.781; C_2 = (-0.212) + j \cdot (-0.317); \Gamma_L = (-0.447) + j \cdot (0.669) = 0.805 \angle 123.7^{\circ}
c) Complex calculus from C7/2017, S28÷34, 2 solutions for the input/output match, Z_0 = 50\Omega lines
input: \theta_{S1} = 166.0^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = -3.276; \theta_{p1} = 107.0^{\circ} or \theta_{S2} = 17.4^{\circ}; Im(y_S) = 3.276; \theta_{p2} = 73.0^{\circ}
output: \theta_{L1} = 9.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.713; \theta_{p1} = 110.2^{\circ} or \theta_{L2} = 46.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.713; \theta_{p2} = 69.8^{\circ}
d) Second stage is identical to the first one, we can reuse c) results. There are 4 solutions (any offers
the points) from the first stage output towards the second stage input (Pr.2017, C10/2017,S76÷84):
d1) \theta_{L1} = 9.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.713 + (-3.276) = -5.989; \theta_{p1} = 99.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 166.0^{\circ};
d2) \theta_{L2} = 46.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.713 + (-3.276) = -0.562; \theta_{p2} = 150.6^{\circ}; \theta_{S1} = 166.0^{\circ};
d3) \theta_{L1} = 9.9^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = -2.713 + (3.276) = 0.562; \theta_{p3} = 29.4^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 17.4^{\circ};
d4) \theta_{L2} = 46.3^{\circ}; Im(y_L) = 2.713 + (3.276) = 5.989; \theta_{p4} = 80.5^{\circ}; \theta_{S2} = 17.4^{\circ};
e) We note that the electrical length \theta = \beta \cdot 1 is proportional to the physical length and the layout is T
shaped (series lines are perpendicular to the shunt stub), \Sigma\theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}} \sim \text{Substrate Area}. We must
compute <u>all</u> solutions for d) and compare individual products \Sigma \theta_{\text{serie}} \times \theta_{\text{paralel}}.
e1) \theta_s = 9.9 + 166.0 = 176.0; \theta_p = 99.5; A ~ 17503.9
e2) \theta_s = 46.3 + 166.0 = 212.3; \theta_p = 150.6; A ~ 31990.4
e3) \theta_s = 9.9 + 17.4 = 27.4; \theta_p = 29.4; A ~ 803.1
e4) \theta_s = 46.3 + 17.4 = 63.8; \theta_p = 80.5; A ~ 5133.8
```